BRIEFING PAPER:



Solitary Confinement



August 2014

ACLU Briefing Paper

The Dangerous Overuse of Solitary Confinement in the United States

© 2014 ACLU Foundation

Cover photograph "Isolation" courtesy of Derek Key/Flickr.



American Civil Liberties Union 125 Broad Street New York, NY 10004 www.aclu.org

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Contents

Introduction	2
What is solitary confinement?	3
How does solitary confinement affect people?	4
What is the impact of solitary confinement on people with mental illness?	6
Who are the people placed in solitary confinement?	8
Are children ever held in solitary confinement?	8
Does solitary confinement make prisons safer?	9
Is solitary confinement cost-effective?	11
Does solitary confinement make the public safer?	10
Are there better alternatives?	11
Federal Reforms	12
State Reforms	
Conclusion	1.0

Introduction

Over the last two decades, corrections systems have increasingly relied on solitary confinement, even building entire "supermax" prisons, where prisoners are held in extreme isolation, often for years or even decades. Although supermax prisons were rare in the United States before the 1990s, today forty-four states and the federal government have supermax units or facilities, housing at least 25,000 people nationwide. But this figure does not reflect the total number of prisoners held in solitary confinement in the United States on any given day. Using data from the Bureau of Justice Statistics, researchers estimated in 2011 that over 80,000 prisoners are held in "restricted housing," including administrative segregation, disciplinary segregation and protective custody—all forms of housing involving substantial social isolation. The Federal Bureau of Prisons (BOP), the largest prison system in the United States, reported in 2011 that it held about 7% of its population in solitary confinement.

This massive increase in the use of solitary confinement has led many to question whether it is an effective or humane use of public resources. Legal and medical professionals criticize solitary confinement and supermax prisons as unconstitutional and inhumane, pointing to the well-known harms associated with placing people in isolation and the rejection of its use in American prisons decades earlier.⁴ Indeed, over a century ago, the Supreme Court noted that:

[Prisoners subject to solitary confinement] fell, after even a short confinement, into a semi-fatuous condition, from which it was next to impossible to arouse them, and others became violently insane; others still, committed suicide; while those who stood the ordeal better were not generally reformed, and in most cases did not recover sufficient mental activity to be of any subsequent service to the community.

In re Medley, 134 U.S. 160, 168 (1890).

Other critics point to the expense of solitary confinement. Supermax prisons typically cost two or three times more to build and operate than even traditional maximum-security prisons.⁵ Yet there is little evidence to suggest that solitary confinement makes prisons safer. Indeed, research suggests that supermax prisons actually have a negative effect on public safety.⁶

Despite these concerns, states and the federal government continue to invest taxpayer dollars in constructing supermax prisons and enforcing solitary confinement conditions. As new fiscal realities force state and federal cuts to essential public services like health and education, it is time to ask whether we should continue to use solitary confinement despite its high fiscal and human costs.

What is solitary confinement?

Solitary confinement is the practice of placing a person alone in a cell for 22 to 24 hours a day with little human contact or interaction; reduced or no natural light; restriction or denial of reading material,

television, radios or other property; severe constraints on visitation; and the inability to participate in group activities, including eating with others. While some specific conditions of solitary confinement may differ among institutions, generally the prisoner spends 23 hours a day alone in a small cell with a solid steel door, a bunk, a toilet, and a sink.⁷ Human contact is restricted to brief interactions with corrections officers and, for some prisoners, occasional encounters with healthcare providers or attorneys.⁸ Family visits are limited; almost all human contact occurs while the prisoner is in restraints and behind a partition. Many prisoners are only allowed one visit per month, if any. 10 The amount of time a person spends in solitary confinement varies, but can last for months, years, or even decades.

"My mind began to slip. I suffered from insomnia, nightmares, hallucinations, and emotional detachment, and often had violent panic attacks.

More than once, I completely lost control and began screaming and beating at the walls of my cell until my knuckles bled.

I started to realize that there was a slow disintegration, really, of my personality, my sense of who I was."

-Sarah Shourd, survivor

Solitary confinement goes by many names, whether it occurs in a supermax prison or in a unit within a regular prison. These units are often called disciplinary segregation, administrative segregation, control units, security housing units (SHU), special management units (SMU), or simply "the hole." Recognizing the definitional morass, the American Bar Association has created a general definition of solitary confinement, which it calls "segregated housing":

The term "segregated housing" means housing of a prisoner in conditions characterized by substantial isolation from other prisoners, whether pursuant to disciplinary, administrative, or classification action. "Segregated housing" includes restriction of a prisoner to the prisoner's assigned living quarters. 11

The term "long-term segregated housing" means segregated housing that is expected to extend or does extend for a period of time exceeding 30 days. 12

In 2013, the Department of Justice employed a similar definition, noting that "the terms 'isolation' or 'solitary confinement' mean the state of being confined to one's cell for approximately 22 hours per day or more, alone or with other prisoners, … [with] limit[ed] contact with others. . . . An isolation unit means a unit where all or most of those housed in the unit are subjected to isolation."¹³

Solitary confinement is used to punish prisoners who have violated rules, or to isolate those considered too dangerous for general population. It is also sometimes used to "protect" prisoners who are perceived as vulnerable—such as youths, the elderly, or individuals who identify as or are perceived to be lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender or intersex (LGBTI).

How does solitary confinement affect people?

Solitary confinement is widely recognized as painful and difficult to endure. "It's an awful thing, solitary," U.S. Senator John McCain wrote of his time in isolation as a prisoner of war in Vietnam. "It crushes your spirit and weakens your resistance more effectively than any other form of mistreatment." Senator McCain's experience is reflected in the consensus among researchers that the psychological harms of solitary confinement are great. Indeed, in a 2007 publication, a Red Cross psychiatrist compared the practice to physical torture, noting that "[b]eing confined for prolonged periods of time alone in a cell has been said to be the most difficult torment of all to withstand— a comment made, moreover, by hardened prisoners used to rigorous conditions and abuse." As a California prison psychiatrist put it: "It's a standard psychiatric concept, if you put people in isolation, they will go insane. . . . Most people in isolation will fall apart."

International human-rights bodies have condemned the prolonged use of solitary confinement. The Inter-American Commission on Human Rights has urged member states to "adopt strong, concrete measures to eliminate the use of prolonged or indefinite isolation under all circumstances;" ¹⁸ the United Nations Special Rapporteur on Torture called for a global ban on solitary confinement in excess of 15 days as well as on the segregation of juveniles and of those with mental disabilities; ¹⁹ and the European Committee for the Prevention of Torture and Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment found that solitary confinement conditions can amount to "inhuman and degrading treatment." ²⁰

Indeed, research shows that some of the clinical impacts of isolation can be similar to those of physical torture.²¹ People subjected to solitary confinement exhibit a variety of negative physiological and psychological reactions, including hypersensitivity to stimuli;²² perceptual distortions and hallucinations;²³ increased anxiety and nervousness;²⁴ revenge fantasies, rage, and irrational anger;²⁵ fears of persecution;²⁶ lack of impulse control;²⁷ severe and chronic depression;²⁸ appetite loss and weight loss;²⁹ heart palpitations;³⁰ withdrawal;³¹ blunting of affect and apathy;³² talking to oneself;³³ headaches;³⁴ problems sleeping;³⁵ confusing thought processes;³⁶ nightmares;³⁷ dizziness;³⁸ self-mutilation;³⁹ and lower levels of brain function, including a decline in EEG activity after only seven days in solitary confinement.⁴⁰ The effects of isolation on the brain are further discussed in this Paper's "Science of Solitary" text box.

Case studies bear out the devastating human toll these conditions can take. Testifying in court, Dr. Stuart Grassian, a board-certified psychiatrist who taught at Harvard Medical School for over 25 years and is one of the nation's leading experts on solitary confinement, described one prisoner who became psychotic in solitary confinement. Although this prisoner had no documented history of psychotic disorders before being subjected to conditions of solitary confinement at California's Pelican Bay State Prison, he became highly symptomatic after several months in solitary. Later, he became "overtly

psychotic and suicidal," at one point writing a suicide note in his own blood. Dr. Grassian testified, "Inmate E reported that he was 'hearing voices' and the examining doctor described him as 'obviously very psychotic.'" He also believed that he was receiving messages from a computer implanted at the base of his neck. "I'm tired of people talking in my head," Inmate E told Dr. Grassian. "I was mentally clear before . . . sometimes I get so confused, I don't even know what's going on." 41

In addition to increased psychiatric symptoms generally, suicide rates and incidents of self-harm are much higher for prisoners in solitary confinement. A February 2014 study in the *American Journal of Public Health* found that detainees in solitary confinement in New York City jails were nearly seven times more likely to harm themselves than those in general population, and that the effect was particularly pronounced for youth and people with severe mental illness. In California prisons in 2004, 73% of all suicides occurred in isolation units—though these units accounted for less than 10% of the state's total prison population.⁴² In the Indiana Department of Corrections, the rate of suicides in segregation was almost three times that of other housing units.⁴³

Recognizing these dangers, organizations including the American Psychiatric Association, Mental Health America, the American Public Health Association, the National Alliance on Mental Illness, and the Society of Correctional Physicians have issued formal policy statements opposing long-term solitary confinement, especially for prisoners with mental illness.⁴⁴

People in solitary confinement are also more likely to be subjected to excessive force and abuses of power.⁴⁵ Correctional officers often misuse physical restraints, chemical agents, and stun guns, particularly when extracting prisoners from their cells.⁴⁶ The fact that the solitary confinement cells are isolated from the general population prisoners makes it more difficult to detect abuse.⁴⁷ Additionally, the idea that "the worst of the worst" are placed in solitary confinement makes it more likely that administrators will be apathetic or turn a blind eye to abuses.⁴⁸

The Science of Solitary Confinement

Scientists are increasingly learning how solitary confinement can fundamentally alter the human brain. "There are definitely physical consequences of these experiences," University of Michigan neuroscientist Huda Akil told colleagues at the American Association for the Advancement of Science 2014 annual conference.

Although the insularity of prisons makes direct study of prisoners in solitary confinement difficult, key elements of the conditions of solitary confinement are each, according to Dr. Akil, "sufficient to dramatically change the brain," and have been shown in experiments to have permanent physiological effects. These elements include lack of interaction with the natural world, lack of touch and visual stimulation, and lack of social interaction. Dr. Akil noted that factors like stress and depression can literally shrivel areas of the brain, including the hippocampus, the region of the brain involved in memory, spatial orientation, and control of emotions.

This emerging neuroscience perspective follows decades of experiments on humans and other mammals that demonstrate the harms of isolation and sensory deprivation. In the 1960s, researchers at U.C. Berkeley found that rats held in supermax-style cells had fewer neurological connections, and thinner cerebral cortexes—the "grey matter" of the brain, which controls perception, language, planning, movement, and social cues. In a 2002 study of human adults, epidemiologists at University College London found that lonely people had higher levels of stress, indicated by increased levels of blood proteins and white blood cells, which can in turn lead to other health problems, including stroke. Recognizing the cruelty of isolation, Columbia University recently amended its ethical guidelines for scientific experiments, strictly limiting the circumstances under which laboratory animals may be held alone in cages.

See Nadia Ramlagan, Solitary Confinement Fundamentally Alters the Brain, Scientists Say, AAAS.org (Feb. 15, 2014), http://www.aaas.org/print/4706; Joseph Stromberg, The Science of Solitary Confinement, Smithsonian (Feb. 19, 2014), http://www.smithsonianmag.com/science-nature/science-solitary-confinement-180949793/?no-ist; see also Shruti Ravindran, Twilight in the Box, Aeon, http://aeon.co/magazine/living-together/what-solitary-confinement-does-to-the-brain/ (summarizing research on animals in isolation and conditions of sensory deprivation); David Brooks, The Archipelago of Pain, N.Y. Times, Mar. 7, 2014, http://www.nytimes.com/2014/03/07/opinion/brooks-the-archipelago-of-pain.html?hpw&rref=opinion (describing and condemning the psychological torment of long-term solitary confinement and referencing studies of animals in comparable conditions).

What is the impact of solitary confinement on people with mental illness?

Solitary confinement is psychologically difficult for even relatively healthy individuals, but it is devastating for those with mental illness. When people with severe mental illness are subjected to solitary confinement, they deteriorate dramatically. Many engage in bizarre and extreme acts of selfinjury and suicide. It is not unusual for prisoners in solitary confinement to compulsively cut their flesh, repeatedly smash their heads against walls, swallow razors and other harmful objects, or attempt to hang themselves. In Indiana's supermax, the Wabash Valley Correctional Facility Secured Housing Unit (SHU), a prisoner with mental illness killed himself by self-immolation; another man choked himself to death with a washcloth.49

One of the leading experts on the mental health effects of solitary confinement explained the reasons for the shattering impact of solitary confinement on prisoners, especially those with mental illness:

It is predictable that prisoners' mental state deteriorates in isolation. Human beings require at least some social interaction and productive activities to establish and sustain a sense of identify and to maintain a grasp on reality. In the absence of social interactions, unrealistic ruminations and beliefs cannot be tested in conversation with others, so they build up inside and are transformed into unfocused and irrational thoughts. Disorganized behaviors emerge. Internal impulses linked with anger, fear and other strong emotions grow to overwhelming proportions . . . It is under these extreme conditions that psychiatric symptoms begin to emerge in previously healthy prisoners. Of course, in less healthy ones there is psychosis, mania or compulsive acts of self-abuse or suicide. We know that the social isolation and idleness, as well as the near absolute lack of control over most aspects of daily life, very often lead to serious psychiatric symptoms and breakdown.⁵⁰

The damaging effects of solitary confinement on people with mental illness are exacerbated because these prisoners often do not receive meaningful treatment for their illnesses. While mental health treatment in many prisons and jails is inadequate, the problems in supermax prisons and segregation units are even greater because the extreme security measures in these facilities render appropriate mental health treatment nearly impossible. For example, because prisoners in solitary confinement are usually not allowed to sit alone in a room with a mental health clinician, any "therapy" will generally take place at cell-front, often through an opening in a solid steel door, and necessarily at a high volume where other prisoners and staff can overhear the conversation. Most prisoners are reluctant to say anything in such a setting, not wanting to appear weak or vulnerable, so this type of "treatment" is largely ineffective.

The shattering impacts of solitary confinement are so well-documented that nearly every federal court to consider the question has ruled that placing people with severe mental illness in such conditions is cruel and unusual punishment in violation of the U.S. Constitution; at least one state court judge has

also recently found the practice unlawful under state constitutional law, and the United States Department of Justice has found that the practice violates both the federal Constitution and federal statutory law.⁵¹ Additionally, in 2012, the American Psychiatric Association, the world's largest psychiatric organization and a leader in humane care and effective treatment, issued a formal position statement that prisoners with serious mental illness should almost never be subjected to such treatment and in the rare event that isolation is necessary, they must be given extra clinical supports.⁵²

"I haven't had a good night's sleep since I've been out....

I'm living amongst millions of people out here, but I still feel alone. I cry at night because of these feelings."

- Anthony Graves, survivor

Who are the people placed in solitary confinement?

There is a popular misconception that all those in solitary confinement are violent, dangerous, and disruptive prisoners, commonly referred to as the "worst of the worst." But any prison system only has a handful of prisoners that actually meet this description. If the use of solitary confinement was solely restricted to the dangerous and predatory, most supermax prisons and isolation units would stand virtually empty. The reality is that solitary confinement is misused and overused. One reason for this is that elected officials pushed to build facilities for solitary confinement based on a desire to appear "tough on crime," rather than actual need as expressed by corrections professionals. As a result, many states built large supermax facilities they didn't need, and now fill the cells with relatively low-risk prisoners. 55

The vast majority of the tens of thousands of people who end up in solitary confinement are not incorrigibly violent criminals; instead, many are severely mentally ill or cognitively disabled prisoners, who find it difficult to function in prison settings or understand and follow prison rules. ⁵⁶ For example, Indiana prison officials admitted in 2005 that "well over half" of the state's supermax prisoners suffer from mental illness. ⁵⁷ On average, researchers estimate that at least 30% of prisoners held in solitary confinement suffer from mental illness. ⁵⁸

Many others in solitary are the so-called "nuisance prisoners"—those who have broken minor rules,⁵⁹ those who file grievances or lawsuits against the prison or otherwise attempt to stand up for their rights, or those who simply annoy staff. These prisoners may present management challenges, but they do not require the extreme security and isolation of supermax institutions or segregation units.

Vulnerable prisoners are also disproportionately housed in solitary confinement units. Unfortunately, solitary confinement has become the default correctional management tool to protect LGBTI individuals from violence in general population. Particularly for transgender women, who are routinely housed in men's facilities, entire prison sentences are often spent in solitary confinement. While correctional officials often justify the use of solitary confinement as necessary protection for these prisoners, the effects of such placements are devastating. In addition to the stigma of being isolated solely based on one's actual or perceived LGBTI status, LGBTI individuals in "protective" isolation experience the same mental health deterioration that typically characterizes solitary confinement, may be denied access to programs and medically necessary healthcare, and are at increased risk of assault and harassment from officers. Though new regulations under the Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) impose limits on the use of "protective custody," correctional agencies continue to house LGBTI individuals in isolation almost as a matter of course.

Are children ever held in solitary confinement?

Sadly, yes. Thousands of children in both the adult and juvenile justice systems are routinely subjected to solitary confinement.⁶³ Despite the prevalence of youth under the age of 18 in adult facilities in the United States—estimated at more than 95,000 in 2011—most adult correctional systems offer few

alternatives to solitary confinement as a means of protecting youth who cannot be housed with adult prisoners in general population.⁶⁴ Young people may spend weeks, months, even years in solitary. In addition to "protective custody," youth in adult facilities may also be isolated as punishment for violating rules designed to manage adult prisoners. In many juvenile facilities, isolation is also used to punish disciplinary infractions. These sanctions can last for hours, days, weeks, or longer.⁶⁵

Children are even more vulnerable to the harms of prolonged isolation than adults.⁶⁶ Young people's brains are still developing, placing them at higher risk of psychological harm when healthy development and social stimulation are impeded.⁶⁷ One of the tragic consequences of the solitary confinement of youth is the increased risk of suicide and self-harm, including self-mutilation. In juvenile facilities, more than 50% of all suicides occur in isolation.⁶⁸ For youth in adult jails, suicide rates in isolation are 19 times those for the general population.⁶⁹ At the same time, youth in isolation are often denied educational opportunities, mental health treatment, and proper nutrition⁷⁰—denials which directly affect their ability to successfully re-enter society and become productive adults.⁷¹

These devastating consequences have led the U.S. Attorney General's National Task Force on Children Exposed to Violence to conclude that "nowhere is the damaging impact of incarceration on vulnerable children more obvious than when it involves solitary confinement." Internationally, the U.N. Special

Rapporteur on Torture has called for a global ban on the solitary confinement of children under 18.⁷³ And in June 2012, the Department of Justice issued national standards under PREA, stating that "the Department supports strong limitations on the confinement of adults with juveniles,"⁷⁴ and mandating that facilities make "best efforts" to avoid isolating children.⁷⁵

"Being in a room over 21 hours a day is like a waking nightmare, like you want to scream but you can't."

 Lino Silva, on her experience in solitary confinement as a child

Does solitary confinement make prisons safer?

No. There is little evidence or research about the goals, impacts or cost-effectiveness of solitary confinement as a corrections tool. In

fact, there is no evidence that using solitary confinement or supermax institutions have significantly reduced the levels of violence in prison or that such confinement acts as a deterrent. A 2006 study found that opening a supermax prison had no effect on prisoner-on-prisoner violence in Arizona, Illinois and Minnesota. The same study found that creating a supermax had only limited impact on prisoner-on-staff violence in Illinois, none in Minnesota and actually increased violence in Arizona. A similar study in California found that supermax prisons have not only failed to isolate or reduce violence in the state prison system, but in fact all measures of violence suggest it has increased. Moreover, limiting the use of solitary confinement has been shown to decrease violence in prison. A reduction in the number of prisoners in segregation in Michigan has resulted in a decline in violence and other misconduct. Similarly, Mississippi saw a 70% reduction in violence levels when it closed an entire solitary confinement unit. Mississippi saw a 70% reduction in violence levels when it closed an entire solitary confinement unit.

The justifications usually cited for building supermax prisons and solitary confinement units rely on a general misconception that putting "the worst of the worst" in solitary confinement creates a safer general population environment where prisoners will have greater freedom and access to educational and vocational programs.81 Others defend solitary confinement as a general deterrent that reduces disruptive behavior throughout the prison.82 However, there is only anecdotal support for these beliefs.⁸³ Indeed, contrary to the assumption that a few "worst of the worst" prisoners cause violence in prisons, researchers have

"Our job in corrections is to protect the community, not to release people who are worse than they were when they came in."

- Rick Raemisch, Director, Colorado **Department of Corrections**

shown that the levels of violence in American prisons may have more to do with the way prisoners are treated and how prisons are managed and staffed than the presence of a few "super violent" prisoners. 84

Does solitary confinement make the public safer?

No. Not only is there little evidence that the enormous outlay of resources for supermax prisons and solitary confinement makes prisons safer, there is growing concern that such facilities are actually detrimental to public safety.

The pervasive use of solitary confinement means that thousands of prisoners, many of them with severe mental illness, return to their communities after months or years in isolation, emerging with diminished social and life skills. 85 In 2006, the Commission on Safety and Abuse in America's Prisons raised concerns regarding the practice of releasing prisoners directly from segregation settings to the community.⁸⁶ The same year, a major psychiatric study of prisoners in solitary confinement noted that such conditions may "severely impair . . . the inmate's capacity to reintegrate into the broader community upon release from imprisonment."87 Since the vast majority of prisoners—at least 95%—will eventually serve their sentences and be released, community reentry is an important element of a corrections department's mission.88

Unsurprisingly, release directly from isolation strongly correlates with an increased risk of recidivism. Preliminary research from California suggests that rates of return to prison are 20% higher for solitary confinement prisoners.⁸⁹ In Colorado, two-thirds of prisoners released directly from solitary confinement returned to prison within three years; by contrast, prisoners who first transitioned from solitary confinement to the general prison population were 6% less likely to recidivate in the same period. 90 A 2001 study in Connecticut found that 92% of prisoners who had been held at the state's supermax prison were rearrested within three years of release, compared with 66% of prisoners who had not been held in administrative segregation. 91 Another study, in Washington State, tracked 8,000 former prisoners upon release and found that, not only were those who were released directly from segregation more likely to reoffend, but they were also more likely to commit violent crimes.92 Significantly, prisoners released directly from segregation had much higher recidivism rates compared to individuals who first transitioned from segregation to general population before their release (64% compared with 41%).⁹³ Findings like these, suggesting a link between recidivism and the debilitating conditions in segregation, have led mental health experts to call for prerelease programs to help prisoners held in solitary confinement transition to the community more safely.⁹⁴

Is solitary confinement cost-effective?

No. Although there is little empirical evidence to support the efficacy of solitary confinement as a prison management tool, there is ample evidence that it is the most costly form of incarceration. There are several reasons for this. Supermax prisons are considerably more costly to build and operate, sometimes costing two or three times as much as conventional facilities.95 Staffing costs are also much higher. Prisoners are usually required to be escorted by two or more officers any time they leave their cells, and work that in other prisons would be performed by prisoners (such as cooking and cleaning) must be done by paid staff. For these reasons, solitary confinement or supermax housing represents an enormous investment of limited criminal justice resources. In 2013, the U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO), an independent investigative agency of Congress, reported that the federal BOP does not "regularly track or calculate the cost of housing inmates in segregated housing units," but that these units are significantly more expensive to operate than traditional maximum-security units where prisoners are housed in general population. This disparity is largely due to the high staffing needs of segregated housing units; at one federal prison, the GAO found, the prisoner-to-correctional officer ratio in a secure housing unit is about a third of the ratio for high-security general population. A 2007 estimate from Arizona put the annual cost of holding a prisoner in solitary confinement at approximately \$50,000, compared to about \$20,000 for the average prisoner. 96 In Maryland, the average cost of housing a prisoner in segregation is three times greater than in a general population facility; in Ohio and Connecticut it is twice as high; and in Texas the costs are 45% greater. 97

Are there better alternatives?

Yes. Respected national standards as well as proven successful reforms, offer guidelines for different approaches to limiting the use of solitary confinement. The good news is that many state departments of corrections and other detention systems around the country are beginning to reform the ways they use solitary confinement.

The ABA's Standards for Criminal Justice, Treatment of Prisoners provide helpful guidelines for systemic reform of solitary confinement. The recommendations presented in the Standards address many aspects of solitary confinement (the Standards use the term "segregated housing"), and represent a consensus view of professionals from all segments of the criminal justice system. The Standards include requirements for the provision of adequate and meaningful process prior to placing or retaining a prisoner in segregation (ABA Treatment of Prisoners Standard 23-2.9 [hereinafter cited by number only]); limitations on the duration of disciplinary segregation and the least restrictive protective segregation possible (23-2.6, 23-5.5); allowing social activities such as in-cell programming, access to television, phone calls, and reading material, even for those in isolation (23-3.7, 23-3.8); decreasing

sensory deprivation by limiting the use of auditory isolation, deprivation of light and reasonable darkness, and punitive diets (23-3.7, 23-3.8); allowing prisoners to gradually gain more privileges and be subject to fewer restrictions, even if they continue to require physical separation (23-2.9); refraining from placing prisoners with serious mental illness in segregation (23-2.8, 23-6.11); and careful monitoring of prisoners in segregation for mental health deterioration and provision of appropriate services for those who experience such deterioration (23-6.11).

"Humans cannot survive without food, water, and sleep, but they also cannot survive without hope.

Years on end in solitary . . . will drain that hope from anyone, because, in solitary, there is nothing to live for."

- Damon Thibodeaux, survivor

Federal Reforms

In June 2012, Senator Dick Durbin of Illinois held the first ever congressional hearing on solitary confinement, and in February 2014 Senator Durbin held a followup hearing on the subject. In his closing remarks at the second hearing, Senator Durbin declared that solitary confinement is overused across the country, and that children, pregnant women, and people with serious mental illness should never be subjected to the practice.⁹⁹

As a result of these hearings, the federal BOP has faced greater scrutiny of its solitary confinement and isolation policies and practices. In May 2013, GAO issued a damning report on BOP's use of solitary confinement, finding that BOP has never assessed whether the practice contributes to prison safety. 100 The GAO report also criticized BOP for its failure to assess the psychological effects of long-term segregation, although its own Psychology Services Manual notes that extended periods in segregation "may have an adverse effect on the overall mental status of some individuals." 101 Facing mounting scrutiny from Congress and the public, BOP has announced that it has reduced its segregated population, and has agreed to a comprehensive and independent assessment of its use of solitary confinement. 102

More sweeping systemic reforms are also underway in another large federal system. In September 2013, U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) imposed monitoring requirements and substantive limits on the use of solitary confinement. The directive, which applies to over 250 immigration detention facilities, requires that any placement in solitary confinement for longer than 14 days receive field office director approval; it also places substantive safeguards on "protective" segregation of vulnerable individuals. 103 Because ICE is comparable to BOP in many ways, including its extensive national network of government-run and private contract facilities, the ICE directive sets a strong example of rigorous monitoring and substantive requirements which BOP can and should follow.

State Reforms

Numerous states have taken steps to investigate, monitor, reduce, and reform their use of solitary. These reforms have resulted from litigation, agency initiative, and legislative action. A growing number of state corrections officials have taken direct steps to regulate the use of solitary confinement for prisoners with mental illness. Responding to litigation that was settled in 2012, the Massachusetts Department of Correction rewrote its mental health care policies to exclude prisoners with severe mental illness from

long-term segregation and designed two maximum security mental health treatment units to divert the mentally ill out of segregated housing. ¹⁰⁴ In the Colorado prison system, as of December 2013, wardens have been directed that prisoners with "major mental illness" are no longer to be placed in administrative segregation; in 2014 both houses of the Colorado state legislature approved a law reflecting this change and providing the necessary funding to make it permanent. ¹⁰⁵ By the end of 2013, facing mounting public scrutiny of its overuse of solitary confinement, the New York City Department of Correction had reassigned all detainees with mental illness in "punitive segregation" at Rikers Island jail to units with more therapeutic resources. ¹⁰⁶ In 2007, a New York State solitary confinement law was passed; the law excludes prisoners with serious mental illness from solitary confinement in state prison, requires mental health monitoring of all prisoners in disciplinary segregation, and creates a non-disciplinary unit for prisoners with psychiatric disabilities where a therapeutic milieu is maintained and prisoners are subject to the least restrictive environment consistent with their needs and mental status. ¹⁰⁷

State correctional leaders have also undertaken more comprehensive reforms, focused on limiting overall use of solitary confinement. In February 2014, the New York State Department of Corrections and Community Supervision announced an agreement with the New York Civil Liberties Union to reform the way solitary confinement is used in New York State's prisons, with the state taking immediate steps to remove youth, pregnant women, and the developmentally disabled and intellectually challenged prisoners from extreme isolation. 108 With the agreement, New York State becomes the largest prison system in the country to prohibit the use of punitive solitary confinement on prisoners under 18.109 In January 2013, Illinois shuttered its notorious supermax prison, Tamms Correctional Center, a move that will reportedly save the state over \$20 million per year. 110 In November 2013, New Mexico's corrections secretary outlined a plan to move nonviolent prisoners out of segregation, and to relocate "protective custody" prisoners to a separate general-population cluster, cutting the state's segregation population by half over the next year. 111 Almost 10% of New Mexico's 7,000 prisoners are currently held in segregated housing, and a recent ACLU report condemned the state's overuse of segregation. 112 In 2012, the Colorado Department of Corrections undertook an external review by DOJ's National Institute of Corrections; the resulting reforms led to the closure of a 316-bed supermax facility, and projected savings of millions of dollars. 113 And in Maine, tighter controls and approval requirements on the use of SMUs, as well as expanded programming options, led to SMU population reductions of over 50%. 114 Other states have also significantly reduced their solitary confinement populations in recent years, including Mississippi¹¹⁵ and Michigan. ¹¹⁶

Reforms to the use of solitary confinement in <u>juvenile justice facilities</u> are also underway. In June 2013, the governor of Nevada signed into law new restrictions on the isolation of youth in juvenile facilities; the law places reporting requirements on the use of isolation, and forbids holding a child in room confinement for longer than 72 hours.¹¹⁷ In 2012, West Virginia's governor signed into law an outright ban on the use of punitive isolation in juvenile facilities.¹¹⁸

Lawmakers are also calling for <u>studies to address the impact of solitary confinement</u>. In May 2013, the Texas legislature passed a bill requiring a comprehensive review of the use of solitary confinement in adult and juvenile facilities across the state. ¹¹⁹ In 2011, the Colorado legislature required a review of administrative segregation and reclassification efforts for prisoners with mental illness or developmental

disabilities. 120 In 2011, the New Mexico legislature mandated a study on solitary confinement's impact on prisoners, its effectiveness as a prison management tool, and its costs. 121 Similarly, in 2012 the Lieutenant Governor of Texas commissioned a study on the use of administrative segregation in the Texas Department of Criminal Justice, including the reasons for its use, its impact on public safety and prisoner mental health, possible alternative prison management strategies, and the need for greater reentry programming for the population. 122 Similar efforts are ongoing in other states; in 2012, the Virginia Senate passed and sent to the House a joint resolution mandating a legislative study on alternative practices to limit the use of solitary confinement, cost savings associated with limiting its use, and the impact of solitary confinement on prisoners with mental illness, as well as alternatives to segregation for such prisoners. 123

Conclusion

The United States uses solitary confinement to an extent unequalled in any other democratic country. But this has not always been so. The current overuse of solitary confinement is a relatively recent development that all too frequently reflects political concerns rather than legitimate public safety needs. Based on decades of empirical research, we know that the human cost of increased physiological and psychological suffering caused by solitary confinement, coupled with the enormous monetary cost, far outweighs any purported benefits. Now, to build a fair, effective and humane criminal justice system, we must work to limit its use overall and to ensure that mentally ill persons and youth are not subject to its deprivations. 124

However, BOP has not publicly elaborated on these changes and on the conditions under which prisoners moved out of SHU housing are now held.

¹ Daniel P. Mears, Urban Inst., Evaluating the Effectiveness of Supermax Prisons 4 (2006).

² Angela Browne, Alissa Cambier, Suzanne Agha, Prisons Within Prisons: The Use of Segregation in the United States, 24 FED'L SENTENCING REPORTER 46 (2011).

³ See Reassessing Solitary Confinement: The Human Rights, Fiscal and Public Safety Consequences: Hearing Before the Sen. Judiciary Subcomm. on the Constitution, Civil Rights and Human Rights, 112th Cong. (2012) (statement of Charles E. Samuels Jr., Director, Federal Bureau of Prisons); Suzanne Kirchhoff, Economic Impacts of Prison Growth, CONGRESSIONAL RESEARCH Service Report for Congress (2010) available at www.fas.org/sgp/crs/misc/R41177.pdf (p.11 of 39). BOP has recently claimed that it has decreased the number of prisoners held in its Secure Housing Units (SHU) by 25%. See Statement of Charles E. Samuels, Jr., Director, Federal Bureau of Prisons, Subcommittee on Crime, Terrorism, Homeland Security and Investigations, Committee on the Judiciary, U.S. House of Representatives, Hearing on the Oversight of the Federal Bureau of Prisons, at 7 (Sept. 2013),

http://www.fedcure.org/documents/SamuelsWitnessTestimonyHouseJudiciaryHearings19092013(c)FedCURE.pdf.

⁴ In re Medley, 134 U.S. 160, 168 (1890) ("[Prisoners subject to solitary confinement] fell, after even a short confinement, into a semi-fatuous condition, from which it was next to impossible to arouse them, and others became violently insane; others still, committed suicide; while those who stood the ordeal better were not generally reformed, and in most cases did not recover sufficient mental activity to be of any subsequent service to the community.").

⁵ MEARS, supra note 1, at ii.

- Atul Gawande. Hellhole, THE NEW YORKER, Mar. 30, 2009, available http://www.newyorker.com/reporting/2009/03/30/090330fa fact gawande.
- ¹⁵ See, e.g., Stuart Grassian, Psychopathological Effects of Solitary Confinement, 140 Am. J. OF PSYCHIATRY 1450 (1983); R. Korn, The Effects of Confinement in the High Security Unit at Lexington, 15 Soc. Just. 8 (1988); S.L. Brodsky & F.R. Scogin, Inmates in Protective Custody: First Data on Emotional Effects, 1 Forensic Rep. 267 (1988); Craig Haney, Mental Health Issues in Long-Term Solitary and "Supermax" Confinement, 49 CRIME & DELINQUENCY 124 (2003); Holly A. Miller & G. Young, Prison Segregation: Administrative Detention Remedy or Mental Health Problem?, 7 CRIMINAL BEHAV. AND MENTAL HEALTH 85 (1997); HANS TOCH, MOSAIC OF DESPAIR: HUMAN BREAKDOWN IN PRISON (1992).
- ¹⁶ Dr. Hernan Reyes, The Worst Scars Are in the Mind: Psychological Torture, 89 Int'l Rev. Red Cross 591, 607 (2007).
- ¹⁷ HUMAN RIGHTS WATCH, ILL-EQUIPPED: U.S. PRISONS AND OFFENDERS WITH MENTAL ILLNESS 149 n. 513 (2003).
- ¹⁸ Organization of American States [OAS], Annex to the Press Release Issued at the Close of the 147th Session: Situation of Children and Adolescents and Situation of Persons Deprived of Liberty (Apr. 5, 2013), available at http://www.oas.org/en/iachr/media center/PReleases/2013/023A.asp
- ¹⁹ Special Rapporteur on Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment, Interim Rep. of the Special Rapporteur on Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment, ¶ 77, U.N. Doc. A/66/268 (Aug. 5, 2011) (by Juan Mendez) available at http://solitaryconfinement.org/uploads/SpecRapTortureAug2011.pdf.
- ²⁰ European Committee for the Prevention of Torture and Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment, 21st General Report OF THE CPT 76 (2011), available at http://www.cpt.coe.int/en/annual/rep-21.pdf.
- ²¹ Reyes, supra note 16; Metin Basoglu, et al., Torture vs. Other Cruel, Inhuman and Degrading Treatment: Is the Distinction Real or Apparent? 64 Arch. of Gen. Psychiatry 277 (2007).
- ²² Stuart Grassian, *Psychopathological Effects of Solitary Confinement*, 140 Am. J. OF Psychiatry 1450, 1452 (1983).
- ²³Id.; Craig Haney, Mental Health Issues in Long-Term Solitary and "Supermax" Confinement, 49 CRIME & DELINQ. 124, 130 (2003); see generally Richard Korn, The Effects of Confinement in the High Security Unit at Lexington, 15 Soc. Just. 8 (1988).
- ²⁴ Grassian, supra note 22, at 1452-53; Haney, supra note 23, at 130, 133; Holly A. Miller, Reexamining Psychological Distress in the Current Conditions of Segregation, 1 J. of Correctional Healthcare 39, 48 (1994); see generally Stanley L. Brodsky & Forest R. Scogin, Inmates in Protective Custody: First Data on Emotional Effects, 1 FORENSIC REP. 267 (1988).
- ²⁵ Grassian, supra note 22, at 1453; Holly A. Miller & Glenn R. Young, Prison Segregation: Administrative Detention Remedy or Mental health Problem?, 7 CRIM. BEHAV. & MENTAL HEALTH 85, 91 (1997); Haney, supra note 23, at 130, 134; see generally HANS TOCH, MOSAIC OF DESPAIR: HUMAN BREAKDOWN IN PRISON (1992).

⁶See, e.g., Keramet Reiter, Parole, Snitch, or Die: California's Supermax Prisons & Prisoners, 1987-2007 47-51 (2010); Maureen L. O'KEEFE, COLO. DEP'T OF CORRECTIONS, ANALYSIS OF COLORADO'S ADMINISTRATIVE SEGREGATION 25 (2005).

 $^{^7}$ Eric Lanes, The Association of Administrative Segregation Placement and Other Risk Factors with the Self-Injury-Free Time of Male Prisoners, 48 J. OF OFFENDER REHABILITATION 529, 532 (2009). 8Id.

⁹Id.

¹⁰Leena Kurki & Norval Morris, The Purposes, Practices, and Problems of Supermax Prisons, 28 CRIME AND JUST. 385, 389 (2001). ¹¹ABA CRIM. JUST. STANDARDS ON THE TREATMENT OF PRISONERS, Standard 23-1.0(r) (2010), available at http://www.abanet.org/crimjust/policy/midyear2010/102i.pdf [hereinafter ABA STANDARDS].

¹² Id. at Standard 23-1.0(o).

¹³ United States Department of Justice, Letter to the Honorable Tom Corbett, Re: <u>Investigation of the State Correctional</u> Institution at Cresson and Notice of Expanded Investigation, May 31, 2013, at p. 5 (emphasis in original), available at http://www.justice.gov/crt/about/spl/documents/cresson_findings_5-31-13.pdf, citing also to Wilkinson v. Austin, 545 U.S. 209, 214, 224 (2005), where the United States Supreme Court described solitary confinement as limiting human contact for 23 hours per day, and Tillery v. Owens, 907 F.2d 418, 422 (3d Cir. 1990), where the Third Circuit described it as limiting contact for 21 to 22 hours per day.

²⁶ Grassian, supra note 22, at 1453.

²⁷ *Id.*; Miller & Young, *supra* note 25, at 92.

²⁸ Grassian, supra note 22, at 1453; Miller & Young, supra note 25, at 92; Haney, supra note 23, at 131.

²⁹ Haney, supra note 23, at 130; see generally Korn, supra note 23.

³⁰ Haney, *supra* note 23, at 131.

³¹ Miller & Young, supra note 25, at 91; see generally Korn, supra note 23.

³² Miller & Young, supra note 25, at 91; see generally Korn, supra note 23.

³³ Haney, supra note 23, at 134; see generally Brodsky & Scogin, supra note 24.

³⁴ Haney, supra note 23, at 133.

³⁵Id.

³⁶ Haney, supra note 23, at 137; see generally Brodsky & Scogin, supra note 24.

³⁷ Haney, supra note 23, at 133.

³⁸Id.

³⁹ Grassian, supra note 22, at 1453; Lanes, supra note 7, at 539-40.

⁴⁰ Paul Gendreau, N.L. Freedman, G.J.S. Wilde & G.D. Scott, Changes in EEG Alpha Frequency and Evoked Response Latency During Solitary Confinement, 79 J. OF ABNORMAL PSYCHOL. 54, 57-58 (1972).

⁴¹ Testimony of Stuart Grassian, M.D., Madrid v. Gomez, 889 F. Supp. 1146, 1225 (N.D. Cal. 1995).

⁴² See Homer Venters et al., Solitary Confinement and Risk of Self-Harm Among Jail Inmates, 104:3 Am. J. Public Health 442, 442-447 (March 2014), available at http://ajph.aphapublications.org/doi/pdf/10.2105/AJPH.2013.301742; Expert Report of Professor Craig Haney at 45-46 n. 119, Coleman v. Schwarzenegger, 2008 WL 8697735 (E.D. Cal 2010) (No: Civ S 90-0520 LKK-JFM P). Another study examined the impact of solitary confinement on the amount of time that passes between incidents in which prisoners harm themselves and found that prisoners in solitary harm themselves on average 17 months earlier than prisoners in general population. See Lanes, supra note 7, at 539-40.

⁴³ Indiana Protection and Advocacy Services Com'n v. Commissioner, Indiana Dept. of Correction, No. 1:08-CV-01317 TWP-MJD, 2012 WL 6738517 at *16 (S.D. Ind. Dec. 31, 2012).

⁴⁴ See American Psychiatric Association, Position Statement on Segregation of Prisoners with Mental Illness (2012), available at http://www.psych.org/File%20Library/Learn/Archives/ps2012 PrisonerSegregation.pdf ("Prolonged segregation of adult inmates with serious mental illness, with rare exceptions, should be avoided due to the potential for harm to such inmates."); AMERICAN PUBLIC HEALTH ASSOCIATION, SOLITARY CONFINEMENT AS A PUBLIC HEALTH ISSUE, POLICY NO. 201310 (2013), available at http://www.apha.org/advocacy/policy/policysearch/default.htm?id=1462 (detailing the public-health harms of solitary confinement; urging correctional authorities to "eliminate solitary confinement for security purposes unless no other less restrictive option is available to manage a current, serious, and ongoing threat to the safety of others" and asserting that "[p]unitive segregation should be eliminated"); MENTAL HEALTH AMERICA, SECLUSION AND RESTRAINTS, POLICY POSITION STATEMENT 24 (2011), available at http://www.nmha.org/positions/seclusion-restraints ("urg[ing] abolition of the use of seclusion . . . to control symptoms of mental illnesses"); NATIONAL ALLIANCE ON MENTAL ILLNESS, PUBLIC POLICY PLATFORM SECTION 9.8, available at http://www.nami.org/Template.cfm?Section=NAMI Policy Platform&Template=/ContentManagement/ContentDisplay.cf m&ContentID=38253 ("oppos[ing] the use of solitary confinement and equivalent forms of extended administrative segregation for persons with mental illnesses"); Society of Correctional Physicians, Position Statement, Restricted Housing of **MENTALLY** ILL **INMATES** (2013),available at http://societyofcorrectionalphysicians.org/resources/positionstatements/restricted-housing-of-mentally-ill-inmates ("acknowledg[ing] that prolonged segregation of inmates with serious mental illness, with rare exceptions, violates basic tenets of mental health treatment," and recommending against holding these prisoners in segregated housing for more than four weeks).

⁴⁵ Kurki & Morris, supra note 10, at 409.

⁴⁶ See, e.g., Thomas v. Bryant, 614 F.3d 1288 (11th Cir. 2010) (affirming a judgment for plaintiffs in an action alleging, among other violations, that the overuse of chemical agents on prisoners with mental illness constituted a violation of the Eighth Amendment of the U.S. Constitution); Coleman v. Brown, No. 2:90-cv-00520-LKK-DAD, Doc. 5131 (E.D. Cal. Apr. 10, 2014) (in a case involving extensive video evidence of corrections officers using pepper spray on prisoners with mental illness who had committed minor rule violations such as refusing to come to their cell doors, ordering state officials to continue reforming the ways force is used on California prisoners); see also Caroline Isaacs & Matthew Lowen, Am. Friends Serv. Comm., Buried ALIVE: SOLITARY CONFINEMENT IN ARIZONA'S PRISONS AND JAILS 14 (2007).

⁴⁷ Id. at 16.

⁴⁸ Id.; see also Maureen L. O'Keefe, Administrative Segregation From Within: A Corrections Perspective, 88 THE PRISON J. 123, 126 (2008).

⁴⁹ Karin Grunden, Man found hanging in cell at Wabash Valley Correctional Facility, TERRE HAUTE TRIBUNE-STAR, Oct. 1, 2003.

⁵⁰ Terry Kupers, Isolated Confinement: Effective Method for Behavior Change or Punishment for Punishment's Sake?, in THE ROUTLEDGE HANDBOOK OF INTERNATIONAL CRIME AND JUSTICE STUDIES 213, 215-16 (Bruce A. Arrigo & Heather Y. Bersot Eds., 2013). See also Testimony of Terry A. Kupers, M.D., Jones El. v. Berge, No. 00-C-421-C (W.D. Wis. Sept. 20, 2001) (describing his observations of psychosis and mental breakdown among prisoners with mental illness who are held in isolation).

⁵¹ Federal and state courts have repeatedly held that placing individuals with serious mental illness in such conditions is cruel and unusual punishment under the Eighth Amendment to the Constitution. See, e.g., Indiana Protection & Advocacy Services

Commission v. Commissioner, 2012 WL 6738517 (S.D. Ind., Dec. 31, 2012) (holding that the Indiana Department of Correction's practice of placing prisoners with serious mental illness in segregation constituted cruel and unusual treatment in violation of the Eighth Amendment); Jones 'El v. Berge, 164 F. Supp. 2d 1096, 1101-02 (W.D. Wis. 2001) (granting a preliminary injunction requiring the removal of prisoners with serious mental illness from "supermax" prison); Ruiz v. Johnson, 37 F. Supp. 2d 855, 915 (S.D. Tex. 1999), rev'd on other grounds, 243 F.3d 941 (5th Cir. 2001), adhered to on remand, 154 F. Supp. 2d 975 (S.D. Tex. 2001) ("Conditions in TDCJ-ID's administrative segregation units clearly violate constitutional standards when imposed on the subgroup of the plaintiffs' class made up of mentally-ill prisoners"); Coleman v. Wilson, 912 F. Supp. 1282, 1320-21 (E.D. Cal. 1995) ("defendants' present policies and practices with respect to housing of [prisoners with serious mental disorders] in administrative segregation and in segregated housing units violate the Eighth Amendment rights of class members "); Madrid v. Gomez, 889 F. Supp. 1146, 1265-66 (N.D. Cal. 1995) (holding prisoners with mental illness or those at a high risk for suffering injury to mental health in "Security Housing Unit" is unconstitutional); Casey v. Lewis, 834 F. Supp. 1477, 1549-50 (D. Ariz. 1993) (finding Eighth Amendment violation when "Despite their knowledge of the harm to seriously mentally ill inmates, ADOC routinely assigns or transfers seriously mentally ill inmates to [segregation units]"); Langley v. Coughlin, 715 F. Supp. 522, 540 (S.D.N.Y. 1988) (holding that evidence of prison officials' failure to screen out from SHU "those individuals who, by virtue of their mental condition, are likely to be severely and adversely affected by placement there" states an Eighth Amendment claim); T.R. et al. v. S.C. Dept. of Corrections, C/A No. 2005-CP-40-2925 (S.C. Ct. Comm. Pleas 5th J. Cir. Jan. 8, 2014) (finding major deficiencies in the Department of Corrections' treatment of prisoners with mental illness, including solitary confinement, and ordering defendants to submit a remedial plan). See also Letter from Jocelyn Samuels, Acting Assistant Att'y Gen., U.S. Dep't of Justice, Civil Rights Div. & David J. Hickton, U.S. Att'y, U.S. Att'y's Office, W.D. Penn. to Tom Corbett, Gov. of Pennsylvania, Re: Investigation of the Pennsylvania Department of Corrections' Use of Solitary Confinement on Prisoners with Serious Mental Illness and/or Intellectual Disabilities (Feb. 24, 2014), available at http://www.justice.gov/crt/about/spl/documents/pdoc finding 2-24-14.pdf (finding, after a system-wide investigation, that state prisons across Pennsylvania "use[] solitary confinement in ways that violate the rights of prisoners with SMI/ID [serious mental illness and intellectual disabilities]," citing "conditions that are often unjustifiably harsh," and detailing a number of other Eighth Amendment violations stemming from the practice of holding prisoners with serious mental illness in solitary confinement); Letter from Thomas E. Perez, Assistant Att'y Gen., U.S. Dep't of Justice, Civil Rights Div. to Tom Corbett, Gov. of Pennsylvania, Regarding the Investigation of the State Correctional Institution at Cresson (May 31, 2013), available at http://www.justice.gov/crt/about/spl/documents/cresson_findings_5-31-13.pdf; Response of the United States of America to Defendants' Motion in Limine No.4: To Exclude the Statement of Interest 2-5, Coleman v. Brown, Case No. 2:90-cv-0520 LKK DAD PC, Doc. No. 4919 (E.D. Cal. Nov. 12, 2013) (summarizing the United States government's position on the applicability of the Eighth Amendment to the placement of prisoners with serious mental illness in solitary confinement for prolonged periods of time).

⁵² Am. Psych. Assoc., Position Statements: Segregation of Prisoners with Mental Illness (2012), available at http://www.psychiatry.org/advocacy--newsroom/position-statements.

⁵³ Kurki & Morris, supra note 10, at 391.

⁵⁴ *Id.* at 390-91.

⁵⁵ Roy King, The Rise and Rise of Supermax: An American Solution in Search of a Problem?, 1 PUNISHMENT & SOC. 163, 177 (1999).

⁵⁶ Haney, *supra* note 15, at 127.

⁵⁷ See Howard Greninger, Suit Targets Carlisle Prison, TERRE HAUTE TRIBUNE-STAR, Feb. 4, 2005.

⁵⁸See, e.g., James Ridgeway & Jean Casella, Locking Down The Mentally III: Solitary Confinement Cells Have Become America's New Asylums, THE CRIME REP., Feb.20, 2010, http://www.thecrimereport.org/archive/locking-down-the-mentally-ill; MARY BETH PFEIFFER, CRAZY IN AMERICA: THE HIDDEN TRAGEDY OF OUR CRIMINALIZED MENTALLY ILL (2007); JENNIFER R. WYNN, ALISA SZATROWSKI & GREGORY WARNER, THE CORRECTIONAL ASSOCIATION OF NEW YORK, MENTAL HEALTH IN THE HOUSE OF CORRECTIONS: A STUDY OF MENTAL HEALTH CARE IN NEW YORK STATE PRISONS 48 (2004). For a recent indictment of states' and the federal government's practices of warehousing people with mental illness in prisons, see generally Nicholas Kristof, Inside a Mental Hospital Called Jail, N.Y. TIMES, Feb. 9, 2014, http://www.nytimes.com/2014/02/09/opinion/sunday/inside-a-mental-hospital-called-jail.html (not focusing on solitary confinement.

⁵⁹ Kurki & Morris, supra note 10, at 411-12.

⁶⁰ See, e.g., DiMarco v. Wyoming Dept. of Corrections, 473 F.3d 1334 (10th Cir. 2007) (overturning a judgment for the plaintiff in an action alleging a due process violation for an intersex woman who had been housed in solitary confinement in a men's prison).

⁶¹ Sylvia Rivera Law Project, "Its war in here: A Report on the Treatment of Transgender and Intersex People in New York State Men's Prisons" 17-19 (2007), http://srlp.org/files/warinhere.pdf

⁶² National Standards to Prevent, Detect and Respond to Prison Rape, Docket No. OAG-131, (May 16, 2012) (to be codified at 28 C.F.R. pt. 115), available at http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/programs/pdfs/prea_final_rule.pdf.

⁶³ See ALONE AND AFRAID, AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION (2013), available at https://www.aclu.org/files/assets/Alone%20and%20Afraid%20COMPLETE%20FINAL.pdf (summarizing the issues related to the use of solitary confinement in juvenile justice facilities); NO CHILD LEFT ALONE, AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION (2013), available at https://www.aclu.org/files/assets/toolkit_juvenile_solitary_briefing_paper_final.pdf (summarizing the issues related to the use of solitary confinement of children in adult facilities).

⁶⁴ Human Rights Watch & the American Civil Liberties Union, Growing Up Locked Down: Youth in Solitary Confinement in Jails and Prisons Across the United States, 132 (2012), available at http://www.aclu.org/growinguplockeddown; Wash. Coal. for the Just Treatment of Youth, A Reexamination of Youth Involvement in the Adult Criminal Justice System in Washington: Implications of New Findings about Juvenile Recidivism and Adolescent Brain Development 8 (2009), available at http://www.columbialegal.org/files/JLWOP cls.pdf.

⁶⁵ Sandra Simkins, et al., *The Harmful Use of Isolation in Juvenile facilities: The Need for Post-Disposition Representation*, 38 WASH. U. J.L. & POL'Y 241 (2012), *available at* http://digitalcommons.law.wustl.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1019&context=wujlp; LINDSAY M. HAYES, NAT'L CTR. ON INSTITUTIONS AND ALTERNATIVES JUVENILE SUICIDE IN CONFINEMENT: A NATIONAL SURVEY 40 (2004).

⁶⁶Am. Acad. of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry, Policy Statement on Solitary Confinement of Juvenile Offenders (Apr. 2012), available at http://www.aacap.org/cs/root/policy_statements/solitary_confinement_of_juvenile_offenders; Simkins et al., supra note 65.

⁶⁷ Jay N. Giedd, *Structural Magnetic Resonance Imaging of the Adolescent Brain*, 1021 ANNALS N.Y. ACAD. Sci. 77 (2004), available at http://intramural.nimh.nih.gov/research/pubs/giedd05.pdf; Laurence Steinberg, *Cognitive and Affective Development in Adolescence*, 9 Trends In Cognitive Sci. 69 (2005), available at http://www.temple.edu/psychology/lds/documents/CognitiveandAffectiveDEvelopmentTICS.pdf.

⁶⁸HAYES, supra note 65, at 28; Seena Fazel et al., Suicide in Prisoners: A Systematic Review of Risk Factors, 69 J. CLINICAL PSYCHIATRY 1721 (2008); see CHRISTOPHER MUOLA, U.S. DEPT. OF JUST., SUICIDE AND HOMICIDE IN STATE PRISONS AND LOCAL JAILS 9 (2005), available at http://bjs.ojp.usdoj.gov/content/pub/pdf/shsplj.pdf.

⁶⁹CAMPAIGN FOR YOUTH JUSTICE, JAILING JUVENILES: THE DANGERS OF INCARCERATING YOUTH IN ADULT JAILS IN AMERICA 10 (2007), available at http://www.campaignforyouthjustice.org/documents/CFYJNR_JailingJuveniles.pdf.

⁷⁰Concerning Pretrial Detention of Juveniles Prosecuted as Adults: Hearing on HB 12-1139 Before the H. Comm. on Judiciary, 68th General Assem. (Colo. 2012) (statement of Peg Ackerman, County Sheriffs of Colorado), available at http://podcache-101.granicus.com/pstore1/coloradoga/coloradoga_d0c9ed72-c055-4de2-8a9a-730e8104df44.mp4; see Individuals with Disabilities Education Act, 20 U.S.C. §§ 1400-1450 (2004) (in which several provisions do not generally apply to disabled children convicted as adults and incarcerated in adult prisons), available at http://idea.ed.gov/download/statute.html; Physical Activity Guidelines Advisory Comm., Physical Activity Guidelines Advisory Committee Report (2008) (recommending that youth engage in moderate to vigorous physical exercise three to five times a week), available at http://www.health.gov/PAguidelines/Report/pdf/CommitteeReport.pdf; U.S. Dept. of Agric., U.S. Dept. of Health & Hum. Serv., Dietary Guidelines for Americans (2010) (recommending diet management and increased physical activity to improve public health), available at http://www.cnpp.usda.gov/Publications/DietaryGuidelines/2010/PolicyDoc/PolicyDoc.pdf; David E. Arredondo, Principles of Child Development and Juvenile Justice: Information for Decision-Makers, 5 J. Center for Fam., Child & Cts. 127 (2004).

⁷¹U.S. DEPT. OF HEALTH & HUM. SERV., EFFECTS ON VIOLENCE OF LAWS AND POLICIES FACILITATING THE TRANSFER OF YOUTH FROM THE JUVENILE TO THE ADULT JUSTICE SYSTEM: A REPORT ON RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE TASK FORCE ON COMMUNITY PREVENTIVE SERVICES 6-8 (2007), available at http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/pdf/rr/rr5609.pdf; Barry Holman & Jason Ziedenberg, Justice Policy Inst., The Dangers of Detention (2006), available at http://www.justicepolicy.org/images/upload/06-11_REP_DangersOfDetention_JJ.pdf.

⁷² ATTORNEY GENERAL'S NATIONAL TASK FORCE ON CHILDREN EXPOSED TO VIOLENCE, REPORT OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL'S NATIONAL TASK FORCE ON CHILDREN EXPOSED TO VIOLENCE, DEFENDING CHILDHOOD: PROTECT, HEAL, THRIVE, 115, 125 (2012), available at http://www.justice.gov/defendingchildhood/cev-rpt-full.pdf.

⁷³ The Special Rapporteur of the Human Rights Council on torture and other cruel, inhuman, or degrading treatment or punishment, *Interim report of the Special Rapporteur of the Human Rights Council on torture and other cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment, delivered to the General Assembly*, U.N. Doc. A/66/268 (Aug. 5, 2011) [hereinafter Special Rapporteur]. *See also* Human Rights Watch & the American Civil Liberties Union, Growing Up Locked Down: Youth In

SOLITARY CONFINEMENT IN JAILS AND PRISONS ACROSS THE UNITED STATES, 132 (2012), available at http://www.aclu.org/growinguplockeddown.

- ⁷⁴ National Standards to Prevent, Detect and Respond to Prison Rape, Docket No. OAG-131, (May 16, 2012) (codified at 28 C.F.R. pt. 115), available at http://www.oip.usdoj.gov/programs/pdfs/prea final rule.pdf.
- ⁷⁶ Chad S. Briggs, et al., *The Effect of Supermaximum Security Prisons on Aggregate Levels of Institutional Violence*, 41 CRIMINOLOGY 1341, 1341-42 (2006).
- ⁷⁷ *Id.* at 1365-66.
- ⁷⁸ Reiter, supra note 5, at 44-46.
- ⁷⁹ Jeff Gerritt, *Pilot Program in UP Tests Alternatives to Traditional Prison Segregation*, DETROIT FREE PRESS, January 1, 2012, available at www.frep.com/fdcp/?unique=1326226266727.
- ⁸⁰ See Terry A. Kupers et al., Beyond Supermax Administrative Segregation: Mississippi's Experience Rethinking Prison Classification and Creating Alternative Mental Health Programs, 36 CRIM. JUST. & BEHAV. 1037, 1041 (2009); John Buntin, Exodus: How America's Reddest State And Its Most Notorious Prison Became a Model of Corrections Reform, 23 GOVERNING 20, 27 (2010).
- 81 Kurki & Morris, supra note 10, at 391.
- ⁸² Id.
- 83 Id.
- 84 Id. at 416-17.
- ⁸⁵See, e.g., REITER, supra note 5, at 2 (noting that in California nearly 40% of segregated prisoners are released directly to the community without first transitioning to lower security units); O'Keefe, supra note 5, at 23 (noting that Colorado also releases about 40% of its supermax population directly to the community).
- ⁸⁶ COMMISSION ON SAFETY AND ABUSE IN AMERICA'S PRISONS, CONFRONTING CONFINEMENT 55 (2006), available at http://www.vera.org/download?file=2845/Confronting Confinement.pdf (Hon. John J. Gibbons & Nicholas de B. Katzenbach, Co-Chairs).
- ⁸⁷ Stuart Grassian, *Psychiatric Effects of Solitary Confinement*, 22 J. L. & Pol'y 325, 333 (prepared from a statement given to the Commission on Safety and Abuse in America's Prisons) (2006).
- ⁸⁸ See, e.g., Timothy Hughes & Doris James Wilson, Reentry Trends in the United States, U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Justice Programs, Bureau of Justice Statustics (2004), available at http://www.bjs.gov/content/pub/pdf/reentry.pdf (reporting that 95% of all state prisoners will eventually be released).
- ⁸⁹REITER, supra note 5, at 50.
- ⁹⁰O'KEEFE, supra note 5, at 25.
- ⁹¹LEGISLATIVE PROGRAM REVIEW AND INVESTIGATIONS COMMITTEE, RECIDIVISM IN CONNECTICUT 41 (2001).
- ⁹²COMMISSION ON SAFETY AND ABUSE IN AMERICA'S PRISONS, *supra* note 90, at 55.
- ⁹³ Id.
- ⁹⁴ Terry Kupers, What To Do with the Survivors? Coping with the Long-term Effects of Isolated Confinement, 35 CRIM. JUST. & BEHAV. 1005 (2008).
- ⁹⁵ ISAACS & LOWEN, supra note 47; Daniel P. Mears & Jamie Watson, Towards a Fair and Balanced Assessment of Supermax Prisons, 23 Just. Q. 233, 260 (2006).
- ⁹⁶ISAACS & LOWEN, supra note 47, at 4.
- ⁹⁷MEARS, *supra* note 1, at 20, 26, 33; Connecticut Department of Correction, Average Daily Expenditure Per Inmate, *available at* http://www.ct.gov/doc/cwp/view.asp?a-1505&q=265600.
- ⁹⁸ The ABA Criminal Justice Standards on the Treatment of Prisoners (2010) represent the product of a five-year drafting process, approved by the American Bar Association House of Delegates in February 2010. They are based on constitutional and statutory law, relevant correctional policies and professional standards, the deep expertise of the drafters who represented all segments of the criminal justice system, as well as the comments of dozens of additional experts and groups (among them heads and former heads of correctional agencies, prisoners' advocacy organizations, and many professional associations). The full text of the Standards is available at: http://www.americanbar.org/publications/criminal justice section archive/criminst standards treatmentprisoners.html
- ⁹⁹ See Reassessing Solitary Confinement, DICK DURBIN: US SENATOR FOR ILLINOIS, ASSISTANT MAJORITY LEADER (Feb. 25, 2014), http://www.durbin.senate.gov/public/index.cfm/videos?ContentRecord_id=4aa1119b-582d-4b48-93c6-d8367d05b5e2.
- ¹⁰⁰ See U.S. Gov't Accountability Office, GAO-13-429, Improvements Needed in Bureau of Prisons' Monitoring and Evaluation of Impact of Segregated Housing 2, 33 (2013) [hereinafter GAO Report on Segregated Housing]. "Segregated housing" refers to

housing units in which prisoners are locked in their cells for approximately 23 hours a day, either alone or with a cellmate. GAO REPORT ON SEGREGATED HOUSING, at 6.

- ¹⁰¹ *Id*. at 40.
- 102 Press Release, Office of Senator Durbin, Durbin Statement on Federal Bureau of Prisons Assessment of its Solitary Confinement Practices (Feb. 4, 2013), available at http://durbin.senate.gov/public/index.cfm/pressreleases?ID=07260483-4972-4720-8d43-8fc82a9909ac.
- ¹⁰³ See U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement, 11065.1: Review of the Use of Segregation for ICE Detainees (2013), available at http://www.ice.gov/doclib/detention-reform/pdf/segregation_directive.pdf.
- ¹⁰⁴ See Press Release, U.S. District Court Approves Settlement Reached in Five-Year Litigation Over Solitary Confinement of Mentally III Prisoners, Bingham McCutchen (Apr. 12, 2012), available at http://www.dlc-ma.org/prisonsettlement/index.htm ("As a result of the litigation, DOC already has implemented significant systemic reforms, including a mental health classification system, a policy to exclude inmates with severe mental illness from long-term segregation, and the design and operation of two maximum security mental health treatment units as alternatives to segregation."); Settlement Agreement, Disability Law Center, Inc. v. Massachusetts Department of Correction, et al., Civil Action No. 07-10463 (MLW).
- ¹⁰⁵ See Memorandum from Lou Archuleta, Interim Director of Prisons, Colorado Department of Corrections, to Wardens, Offender Services (Dec. 10, 2013) (directing wardens to no longer refer prisoners with "major mental illness" or "MMI Qualifiers" to administrative segregation, reproducing the wording of a new administrative code section describing the policy, and noting that the Department is "working to move" MMI prisoners out of administrative segregation), available at http://aclu-
- co.org/sites/default/files/Memo%20Mental%20Health%20Qualifiers%20Ad%20Seg%20MEMO%20%282%29.pdf. See also Restrictions on Solitary Confinement Pass Colorado House, Fox21 Continuous News Desk (Apr. 28, 2014), http://www.fox21news.com/news/story.aspx?id=1036929#.U17XBle5LIY (reporting that Colorado SB 64, which will limit solitary confinement for prisoners with serious mental illness, passed the house on April 28, 2014; the bill is expected to be signed into law by the governor).
- 106 See Sean Gardiner, Solitary Jailing Curbed: New York City Department of Correction Stops Solitary Confinement for Mentally WALL ST. JOURNAL, 2014, available **Inmates** Who Break Rules, Jan. 5, http://online.wsj.com/news/articles/SB10001424052702304617404579302840425910088?mod=rss_newyork_main.
- ¹⁰⁷See N.Y. Mental Hygiene Law § 45.07(z) (2011); N.Y. Correction Law §§ 137, 401, 401(a) (2008).
- ¹⁰⁸ See Stipulation for a Stay with Conditions, Docket No. 11-CV-2694 (SAS), Peoples v. Fischer, (S.D.N.Y. Jan. 24, 2014), available at http://www.nyclu.org/files/releases/Solitary_Stipulation.pdf.
- See NYCLU Lawsuit Secures Historic Reforms to Solitary Confinement, NYCLU.org, Feb. 19, 2014, http://www.nyclu.org/news/nyclu-lawsuit-secures-historic-reforms-solitary-confinement; Benjamin Weiser, New York State to Limit Use of Solitary Confinement, N.Y. TIMES, Feb. 19, 2014, http://www.nytimes.com/2014/02/20/nyregion/new-yorkstate-agrees-to-big-changes-in-how-prisons-discipline-inmates.html.
- See Tamms Supermaximum Security Prison Now Closed, Amnesty International, Jan. 10, 2013. http://www.amnestyusa.org/our-work/latest-victories/tamms-supermaximum-security-prison-now-closed; Steve Mills, Quinn's Prison Plan Causes Stir, CHICAGO TRIBUNE, Feb. 23, 2012, available at http://articles.chicagotribune.com/2012-02-23/news/ct-met-illinois-state-budget-prisons-20120223 1 super-max-maximum-security-prison-maximum-security-
- inmates; Dave McKinney and Andrew Maloney, Gov. Pat Quinn: Close super-max downstate Tamms prison, CHICAGO SUNTIMES, February 22, 2012, available at http://www.suntimes.com/news/politics/10785648-418/gov-pat-quinn-close-super-maxdownstate-tamms-prison.html.
- 111 Associated Press, New Mexico Prisoner Segregation Under Review, LAS CRUCES SUN-NEWS, Nov. 24, 2013, http://www.lcsunnews.com/las cruces-news/ci 24592049/new-mexico-prisoner-segregation-under-review.
- 112 NEW MEXICO CENTER ON LAW AND POVERTY & ACLU OF NEW MEXICO, INSIDE THE BOX: THE REAL COSTS OF SOLITARY CONFINEMENT IN NEW MEXICO'S PRISONS AND JAILS (2013), available at http://nmpovertylaw.org/WP-nmclp/wordpress/WP-nmclp/wordpress/wpcontent/uploads/2013/10/Solitary Confinement Report FINALsmallpdf.com .pdf
- 113 COLO. DEP'T OF CORR., REPORT ON IMPLEMENTATION OF ADMINISTRATIVE SEGREGATION PLAN 1-2 (2012), available at https://www.aclu.org/prisoners-rights/report-co-docs-implementation-administrative-segregation-plan; see also Denise Maes, Guest Column: Solitary Confinement Reform is Welcome Sign of Progress, Colorado Springs Gazette, Jan. 27, 2012, available at www.gazette.com/common/printer/view.php?db=colgazette\$id=132524; News Release, Colo. Dep't of Corr., The Department of Corrections Announces the Closure of Colorado State Penitentiary II (March 19, 2012), available at http://www.doc.state.co.us/sites/default/files/Press%20release%20CSP%20II%20close%20%20Feb%201%202013.pdf.

See Lance Tapley, Reform Comes to the Supermax, PORTLAND PHOENIX, May 25, 2011, available at http://portland.thephoenix.com/news/121171-reform-comes-to-the-supermax/.

¹¹⁵ The state of Mississippi saved \$8 million annually and saw a 70% reduction in violence levels when it closed an entire solitary confinement unit. See Kupers et al., supra note 81; Buntin, supra note 81.

¹¹⁶ In Michigan, new segregation parameters have led to fewer violent incidents. *See* Gerritt, *supra* note 80.

¹¹⁷ See Nev. SB 107, available at http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Session/77th2013/Reports/history.cfm?billname=SB107.

¹¹⁸ See Associated Press, W.Va. Ends Solitary Confinement for Juveniles, TIMES W.V., Apr. 26, 2012, available at http://www.timeswv.com/westvirginia/x130096856/W-Va-ends-solitary-confinement-for-juveniles.

S.B. 1003, Leg. Session 83(R) (Tex. 2013), available at http://www.capitol.state.tx.us/billlookup/Text.aspx?LegSess=83R&Bill=SB1003#.

¹²⁰ S. B. 176, 68th Gen. Assem., Reg. Sess. (Colo. 2011).

¹²¹ H. Mem. 62, 50th Leg., 1st Sess. (N.M. 2011).

¹²² Press Release, Office of the Lieutenant Governor, Lt. Governor Dewhurst Issues Select Interim Charges Relating to Transportation, Homeland Security and Criminal Justice (Jan. 13, 2012), available http://www.ltgov.state.tx.us/prview.php?id=337.

¹²³ See S. J. Res. 93, 2012 Leg., Reg. Sess. (Va. 2012) (the bill was subsequently tabled in the Virginia House Rules Committee and was not enacted into law); Study on Solitary Confinement, ACLU Virginia, available at http://acluva.org/8628/study-onsolitary-confinement/. In 2009, Maine's legislature also considered a bill that would have required a study of the use of solitary confinement in state prisons, as well as placing substantive limits on the practice. See L.D. 1611/H.P. 1139, Resolve 216 (Me. 2009) (signed in alternate form by the governor as a "resolve" requiring a review and report to the legislature).

¹²⁴ Quotations in block quotes throughout this paper come from the following sources: Podcast: Sarah Shourd tells of Her 410 Days in Solitary Confinement, AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION, available at https://www.aclu.org/prisoners-rights/sarah-shourd-410-days-solitary-confinement (Sarah Shourd was one of three American hikers captured by the Iranian government near the Iraq-Iran border in 2009. Ms. Shourd was held captive in solitary confinement in Iran for 410 days); Oral Testimony of Anthony Graves, Reassessing Solitary Confinement, U.S. Senate Judiciary Committee, Subcommittee on the Constitution, Civil Rights and Human Rights (June 21, 2012) (Graves is a death row exoneree who spent over a decade in solitary confinement on death row as punishment for a crime he did not commit); ALONE & AFRAID: CHILDREN HELD IN SOLITARY CONFINEMENT AND ISOLATION IN JUVENILE DETENTION CORRECTIONAL AND FACILITIES, AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION (2013),available https://www.aclu.org/files/assets/Alone%20and%20Afraid%20COMPLETE%20FINAL.pdf; Rick Raemisch, My Night in Solitary, N.Y. TIMES, Feb. 20, 2014, http://www.nytimes.com/2014/02/21/opinion/my-night-in-solitary.html; Oral Testimony of Damon Thibodeaux, Reassessing Solitary Confinement II, U.S. Senate Judiciary Committee, Subcommittee on the Constitution, Civil Rights and Human Rights (Feb. 25, 2014) (Thibodeaux is a death row exoneree who spent 15 years in solitary confinement as punishment for a crime he did not commit).

Appendix A:

Laws Limiting or Requiring Study of Solitary Confinement

ina. Washi	Citation,			Subject Matter		
State	Title,	Comprehensive	Charles I and	Youth in Adult	Youth in Juvenile	People with
	and Link	Reforms	Study Law	Facilities	Facilities	Mental Illness
AK	Alaska				Statutory ban on	
	Deling. R. 13				"solitary	
	(2012).				confinement for	
	Judge's				punitive reasons"	
	Responsibili				for juveniles. (Note	
	ty				admin. policies	
	Concerning				define "secure	
	Conditions				confinement" as	
	of				including isolation	
	Detention.				"for the purposes	
	http://court				of safety, security,	
	s.alaska.gov				or discipline.")	
	/del.htm#13				, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
	. But see					
	Alaska					
	Admin. Code					
	tit. 7 §§					
	52.900(16).					
со	SB 64	Requires review				Requires removal
	(2014). Use	of all prisoners in				of all prisoners
İ	of Isolated	isolation and				with Serious
	Confinemen	removal of				Mental Illness from
	t: Mental	prisoners with				isolation, and
	Illness.	serious mental				provides
	http://legisc	illness from				appropriate
	an.com/CO/	isolation, and				funding.
	bill/SB064/2	provides funding				runung.
	<u>014</u> .	to facilitate these				
		actions.				
со	SB 11-176		Requires annual			
	(2011).		report to			
	Concerning		legislature on			
	Appropriate		status of ad seg,			
	Use of		including			
	Restricted		reclassification			
l.	Confinemen		efforts for			
	t.		prisoners with			
	http://www.		mental illness or			
	leg.state.co.		developmental			
	us/clics/clics		disabilities,			
	2011a/csl.ns		duration of stay,			
	f/fsbillcont3		reason for			
	/A88F4FFC7		placement, and			
			,			

	Citation,			Subject Matter		
State	Title,	Comprehensive		Youth in Adult	Youth in Juvenile	People with
1	and Link	Reforms	Study Law	Facilities	Facilities	Mental Illness
a Marine Marine						
	95C5C79872		number			
	578080080E		discharged, plus		:	
	624?open&f		internal reform			
	<u>ile=176 enr.</u>		efforts.			
	<u>pdf</u> .					
СТ	Conn. Gen.				Applies to pre-	
	Stat. Ann. §				adjudication	
	46b-133				juvenile facilities:	
	(2012).				Ban on	
	Arrest of				juvenile "solitary	
	child				confinement" of	
	Admission				youth held in	
	of child to				detention (but no	
	juvenile				definition of the	
	detention				term, allowing for	
	center.				ambiguity in	
	http://www.				agency policy).	
	cga.ct.gov/2					
	013/pub/ch					
	ap 815t.ht					
	m#sec 46b-					
	<u>133</u> .					
СТ	Conn. Gen.				Applies to post-	
	Stat. Ann. §				adjudication juvenile facilities:	
	17a-16(d)(1) (2014).				Places limits on	
	Rights of				"seclusion" except	
	children and				when youth is out	
	youths				of control and/or	
	under the				dangerous.	
	supervision				However, agency	
	of the				regulation seems	
	Commission				to allow	
	er of				disciplinary	
	Children				seclusion.	
	and				_	
	Families.					
	http://www.					
	cga.ct.gov/2					
	013/pub/ch					
	ap 319.htm					
	#sec 17a-					
	16. But see					
1					1	

1 A	Citation,			Subject Matter		
State	Title,	Comprehensive	C. I.	Youth in Adult	Youth in Juvenile	People with
	and Link	Reforms	Study Law	Facilities	Facilities	Mental Illness
\	<u> </u>					
	Conn.					
	Agencies					
	Regs. § 17a-					
	16-11					
	(2014).					
ME	LD 1611	-	Charged			
	(2010).		corrections			
	State Prison		officials with			
	Solitary		reviewing due			
	Confinemen		process			
	t Review.		procedures and			
	http://votes		classification			
	mart.org/bill		policies for	•		
	/votes/2975		"special			
	6#.U7VmlxB		management"			
	dWAg.		prisoners.			
			Resulted in a			
			detailed study			
			and report to the			
			legislature, which in turn coincided			
			with various			
			major reforms.			
ME	Me. Rev.		major reforms.		Prohibition on	
1412	Stat. tit. 34-				disciplinary	
	A § 3032 (5)				"confinement to a	
	(2006).				cell" and	
	Disciplinary				"segregation"	
	Action.	:			(defined as	
	http://www.				separation from	
	mainelegisla				general population	
	ture.org/legi				for administrative	
	s/statutes/3				or punitive	
	4-A/title34-				reasons) as	
	Asec3032.ht				punishment at	
	<u>ml</u> .				juvenile	
				,	correctional	
					facilities.	
MI	Public Acts					Forbids placement
	of 2013: Act					of prisoners with
	No. 59					SMI in ad seg due
	(2013).					to mental illness.
	Appropriati					Requires 12-hour

	Citation,			Subject Matter		
State	Title,	Comprehensive		Youth in Adult	Youth in Juvenile	People with
	and Link	Reforms	Study Law	Facilities	Facilities	Mental Illness
	ons Bill.			- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		medical checks on
	(Previously					SMI prisoners in ad
	SB 4328.)					seg, and annual
						DOC report to
1 1	http://www.					legislature on
1 1	legislature.					number of SMI
i I	mi.gov/(S(bg					prisoners in ad seg,
	tidl45aaluqf					and duration of
l I	55up52sziq)					placement. Also
1 1)/mileg.aspx					requires that
1	?page=getO bject&objec					reports on prisoner
	tName=201					suicides include
	3-HB-4328.					whether prisoner
	<u>3-Hb-4326.</u>					was in ad seg.
NV	Nev. Rev.				Juvenile solitary	
1	Stat. §				confinement	
1	62B.215				requires special	
	(2013).				approval and	
	Conditions				extensive	
	and				monitoring and	
1 1	limitations				reporting, is only	
	on use of				allowed after alternatives have	
	corrective				been exhausted,	
1	room restriction				and may not last	
1	by certain				longer than 72	
1 1	facilities for				hours.	`
1 1	detention of				nours.	
1	children;					
	reporting					
l I	requiremen					
1 1	t.					
	http://www.					
	leg.state.nv.					
	us/NRS/NRS					
	<u>-062B.html</u> .					
1	S. Mem. 40,		Mandates a study			
1 1	50th Leg.,		by a working			
	1st Sess.		group appointed			
1	(2011). A	ļ	by legislative			
	Memorial		committee,			

	Citation,			Subject Matter		
State	Title,	Comprehensive		Youth in Adult	Youth in Juvenile	People with
100	and Link	Reforms	Study Law	Facilities	Facilities	Mental Illness
				- radiffices	- Tacinicies	- Iviciitai iiiiless
	requesting		reported to the			
			legislature, on the			
	information		impact of solitary			
	regarding		confinement on			
	the use of		prisoners, its			
	solitary		effectiveness as a			!
	confinemen		prison			
	t in New		management			
	Mexico		tool, and its costs.			
	http://www.	:				
	sos.state.nm					
	.us/uploads/					
	files/Bills201					
	1/Memorial					
	<u>s/SM40.pdf</u> .					
NY	N.Y. Cor.					Requires that
	Law Sec. 137					prisoners with SMI
	(2008).					who face
	Program of					disciplinary
	treatment,					segregation that
	control,					could exceed 30
	discipline at					days be diverted to
	correctional					a residential
	facilities.					mental health
	http://asse					treatment unit
	mbly.state.n					established by
	y.us/leg/?de					statute for the
	fault fld=&b					treatment of
	n=S06422&t					prisoners who
	erm=2007&					suffer from mental
	Text=Y.					illness but do not
						require
						hospitalization.
ОК	Okla. Stat.				D	Defines SMI.
	tit. 10A, § 2-				Ban on	
1	7-603(A)		Ì		punitive juvenile	ļ
	(2013).				solitary	
	Rules,				confinement;	
- 1	policies and				defines solitary confinement as	
1	procedures				"involuntary	
	required in				removal of a	
	facilities.				juvenile from	
					Javenne Holli	

	Citation,			Subject Matter		
State	Title,	Comprehensive		Youth in Adult	Youth in Juvenile	People with
	and Link	Reforms	Study Law	Facilities	Facilities	Mental Illness
	http://www.				contact with other	
	<u>oklegislature</u>				persons by	
	.gov/osstatu				confinement in a	
	<u>estitle.html</u> .				locked room,	
					including the	
					juvenile's own	
					room, except	
					during normal	
					sleeping hours."	
TX	Tex. Sess.		Amidst several			
}	Law Serv.		proposed			
	Ch. 1184		reforms, Texas			
	(S.B.		passed legislation			
	1003/HB		to review the use			
	1266)		of solitary			
	(2013). A		confinement.			
	Review of		Requires			
	and Report		formation of an			
	Regarding		independent,			
	the Use of		third-party task			
	Adult and		force to "conduct			
	Juvenile		a comprehensive			
	Administrati		review of			
	ve		administrative			
	Segregation		segregation and			
	in Facilities		seclusion policies			
	in this State.		and practices" in			
	http://www.		state adult and			
	legis.state.tx		juvenile facilities.			
	.us/tlodocs/		Requires a report			
	83R/billtext/		to the governor			
1	pdf/SB0100		and legislature.			
	3F.pdf.					
WV	W. Va. Code				Statutory ban on	
	§ 49-5-16a				punitive solitary	
	(1998).				confinement of	
	Rules				juveniles and on	
	Governing				"lock[ing a youth]	
	Juvenile				alone in a room	
	Facilities.				unless that juvenile	
	http://law.ju				is not amenable to	
	stia.com/co				reasonable	
	des/west-				direction and	
L				1		

C	Citation,			Subject Matter		
State	Title, and Link	Comprehensive Reforms	Study Law	Youth in Adult Facilities	Youth in Juvenile Facilities	People with Mental Illness
	virginia/201				control." (Note	
	3/chapter-				that administrative	
	49/article-				policy permits	
	5/section-				room confinement	
	<u>49-5-16a/</u> .				as a sanction).	
	But see W.V.					
	Div. Juvenile					
	Serv., Poľy					
	330.00,					
	Resident					
	Discipline,	ľ				
	Proc. 6 Cat. I					
	(permitting					
	up to 10					
	days room					
	confinement					
	as a					•
	sanction for					
	certain					
	offenses).					



Pending or Recently Proposed (2013 or 2014) Solitary Confinement Reform Bills

1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Bill			Subject Matter		
	Number					
State	and Title,	Comprehensive		Youth in Adult	Youth in Juvenile	People with
	Status, and	Reforms	Study Bill	Facilities	Facilities	Mental Illness
	Link					mental miless
CA	AB 1652:	Proposed reforms				
	Inmates:	to classification of				
	Prison	prisoners in	·			
	Gangs.	segregated				
	Refused	housing based on				
	passage in	gang affiliation				
	Assembly					
	vote					
	5/28/14.					
	http://bit.ly/					
	<u>1lw5wq0</u> .					
CA	SB 892:	Among other				
	State	proposed				
	Prisons. Re-	reforms, would				
	referred to	require due				
	Assembly	process including				
	Committee	Inspector General				
	on	review prior to				
1	Appropriatio	SHU placement				>
	ns 6/25/14.	due to alleged				
	http://leginf	gang affiliation;				
	o.legislature	review of				
	.ca.gov/face	indefinite-term				
	s/billNavClie	SHU placements;				
	nt.xhtml?bill	specialized				
	<u>id=201320</u>	behavior plans to				
	140SB892.	promote				
		reintegration				
		from SHU back to				
		general				
		population; and				
		mental health				
		screening/assess				
		ment of SHU				
		prisoners.				
CA	Cal. S.B. 61:				Would ban juvenile	
	An act to				solitary	
	amend				confinement	
	the Welfare				except in limited	
!	and				cases ("immediate	
	Institutions				and substantial risk	
		-				

	Bill			Subject Matter		
	Number					
State	and Title,	Comprehensive	Study Bill	Youth in Adult	Youth in Juvenile	People with
	Status, and	Reforms	Study Bill	Facilities	Facilities	Mental Illness
	Link					
	Code,				of harm to others	
	relating to				or to the security	
	juveniles.				of the facility, and	
	Filed as				all other less-	
	inactive				restrictive options	
	4/29/14;				have been	
	Legislature				exhausted"),	
	adjourned				address mental	
	without				health issues	
	further				related to behavior	
	action.				problems, and	
	http://www.				require transfer to	
	<u>leginfo.ca.go</u>				mental health	
	v/cgi-				treatment facility	
	<u>bin/postque</u>				in some cases.	
	ry?bill num					
	ber=sb 61&					
	sess=CUR&h					
	ouse=B&aut					
	hor=yee %3					
	Cyee%3E					
FL	SB 812/HB			Would strictly		
	959: Youth			regulate the		
	in Solitary			isolation of youth		
	Confinemen			under 18 in jails and		
	t. House			prisons. 24-hour		
	hearing			max for "emergency		
	3/18/13;			isolation," only		
	Legislature			permitted after		
	adjourned			exhaustion of		
	without			alternatives, MH		
	further			eval after one hour,		
	action.			72 hours max for		
	http://www.			disciplinary reasons		
	flsenate.gov			after due process, 5		
	/Session/Bill			hours out of cell for		
	/2013/0812/			youth in protective		
	<u>BillText/File</u>			custody, among		
	<u>d/PDF</u> .			other protections.		
				Also would require		
				data reporting.		

	Bill			Subject Matter		
	Number					
State	and Title,	Comprehensive	C: 1 P.III	Youth in Adult	Youth in Juvenile	People with
	Status, and	Reforms	Study Bill	Facilities	Facilities	Mental Illness
	Link					
					•••••	
MD	SB0861/HB0		Requiring a third-			
	787:		party review of			
	Corrections		correctional			
	Isolated		facilities relating			
	Confinemen		to isolated			
	t Study.		confinement;			
	Unfavorable		requiring a			
	House and		correctional			
	Senate		facility to provide			
	Judiciary		access to all data			
	Committee		necessary for the			
	Reports		review to the			
	2014;		independent third			
	Legislature		party; requiring			
	adjourned		the independent			
	without		third party to			
	further		develop specified			
	action.		recommendations			
	http://mgal					
	eg.maryland					
	.gov/webmg					
	<u>a/frmMain.a</u>					
	spx?pid=bill					
	page&stab=					
	03&id=hb07					
	87&tab=subj					
	ect3&ys=20					
	<u>14RS.</u>					
MA	Bill H.1486:	Would require				
	An Act	segregated				
	relative to	housing to be the				
	the	briefest term and				
	appropriate	under the least				
	use of	restrictive				
	solitary	conditions				
	confinemen	practicable.				
	t .	Would require				
	Hearing	prisoners placed				
	scheduled	in segregated				
	for 4/28/14	housing to receive				
	(no update).	notice and a				

	Bill			Subject Matter		
	Number					
State	and Title,	Comprehensive		Youth in Adult	Youth in Juvenile	People with
and the same	Status, and	Reforms	Study Bill	Facilities	Facilities	Mental Illness
	Link					

	https://male	hearing. Would				
:	gislature.gov	limit segregation				
	/Bills/188/H	to a maximum of				
	ouse/H1486	six months				
	<u>.</u>	"except in the				
		most				
		extraordinary				
		circumstances"				
		and set minimum				
		standards for				
		humane				
		treatment.				
MA	SB 1133	Calls for				
	(2013): An	standards prior to				
	Act relative	placing a prisoner				
	to the	in solitary				
	appropriate	confinement,				
	use of	decreases				
	solitary	extreme isolation				
	confinemen	conditions,				
	t.	encourages				
	Accompanie	individualized				
	d study	rehabilitation,				
	order	programming,				
	05/05/14;	and close mental				
	Discharged	health				
	to	monitoring.				
	Ethics/Rules					
	Committee					
	(see <u>S2117</u>);					
	Legislature					
	adjourned					
	without					
	further					
	action.					
	https://male					
	gislature.gov					
	/Bills/188/S					
	<u>enate/S1133</u>					
	÷					

	Bill			Subject Matter		
. 3	Number					
State	and Title,	Comprehensive		Youth in Adult	Youth in Juvenile	People with
15 A . 15	Status, and	Reforms	Study Bill	Facilities	Facilities	Mental Illness
4 5° 46' 5°	Link				radiffics	Wiental Inness
MT	LC 2085/ HB	The bill would		The bill would		
	536:	regulate isolation		regulate isolation		
	Montana	practices in		practices in prisons,		
	Solitary	prisons,		reforming/limiting		
	Confinemen	reforming/		isolation of youth		
	t Act. Died	limiting the		and adults. Among		
	in Standing	isolation of youth		other reforms,		
	Committee	and adults.		would prohibit the		
	(House			prolonged solitary		
	Judiciary)			confinement of		
	4/24/13.			youth under 18, or		
	http://open			solitary		
	states.org/m			confinement for		
	t/bills/2013/			more than 3		·
	HB536/.			consecutive days in		
				a 30 day period.		
NH	N.H. H.B.	Would reform	Would establish	Would place an		Would place a ban
	480-FN:	several aspects of	commission to	absolute ban on		on solitary
	Relative to	solitary	study solitary	solitary		confinement of
	Solitary	confinement,	confinement in	confinement of		people with SMI or
	Confinemen	including	NH prisons.	people younger		"other significant
	t.	requiring mental		than 18.		mental
	Introduced	health screening				impairment."
	1/3/13; Died	and 6-week limit				
	in chamber.	for disciplinary				
	http://legisc	seg.				
	an.com/NH/					
	bill/HB480/2					
	<u>013.</u>					
NJ	S1650:	Would permit				
	Restricts	placement in a				
	placement	single housing cell				
	of inmates	in disciplinary				
	in certain	detention or				j
	housing	administrative				
	units of	segregation only				
	State	when necessary				
	correctional	to protect the				
	facilities.	prisoner or				
	Referred to	another prisoner				
	Senate Law	from physical				

	Bill			Subject Matter		
	Number					
State	and Title,	Comprehensive		Youth in Adult	Youth in Juvenile	People with
	Status, and	Reforms	Study Bill	Facilities	Facilities	Mental Illness
	Link					
	•					
	and Public	harm. Would				
	Safety	forbid such				
	Committee	placement for any				
	3/17/14.	other purpose,				
	http://legisc	including				
	an.com/NJ/t	disciplinary or				
	<u>ext/S1650/i</u>	administrative.				
	<u>d/990620.</u>	(Would not,				
		however, apply to				
		double-celling.)				
NY	A08588/S06	Would restrict the				
	466: An Act	use of segregated				
	to amend	confinement and				
	the	create alternative				
	correction	therapeutic				
	law, in	and rehabilitative				
	relation to	confinement				
	restricting	options; would				
	the use of	limit the length of				
	segregated	time a person				
	confinemen	may				
	t and	be in segregated				
	creating	confinement and				
ŀ	alternative	exclude certain				
	therapeutic	persons from				
	and	being placed in				
	rehabilitativ	segregated				
	e confinemen	confinement.				
	1					
	t options					
	(HALT					
	Solitary Confinemen					
	t Bill).					
	Amend/reco					
	mmit to					
	Crime					
	Victims,					
	Crime and					
	Correction					
	(Senate) and					
	(Schate) and					

	Bill			Subject Matter		
	Number					
State	and Title,	Comprehensive		Youth in Adult	Youth in Juvenile	People with
	Status, and	Reforms	Study Bill	Facilities	Facilities	Mental Illness
	Link					
	Correction					
	(Assembly)					
	4/23/2014.					
	http://asse					
	mbly.state.n					
	<u>y.us/leg/?de</u>					
	fault_fld=&b					
	<u>n=S06466&t</u>				1	
	<u>erm=2013&</u>					
	Summary=Y					
	&Actions=Y					
	&Votes=Y&					
	Memo=Y&T					
	ext=Y.					
NY	A 9286: An	Would amend				
INT	A 9200. All	existing law to				
	amend the	add a category of				
	correction	exclusion to the				
	law, in	statute governing				
	relation to	disciplinary				
	requiring	confinement;				
	structured	would ban				
	out-of-cell	punitive isolation				
	programmin	and placement in				
	g for	adult segregation				
	adolescents	units for prisoners				
	in	under 21 (except				
	segregated	for up to 15 days				
	disciplinary	in emergency				
	confinemen	situations				
	t. Referred	presenting				
	to	"unacceptable				
	correction	risk"); and would				
	committee	provide that				
	4/7/14.	prisoners under				
	http://asse	21 in segregated				
	mbly.state.n	confinement must				
	y.us/leg/?de	be given out-of-				
	fault_fld=&b	cell programming				
	<u>n=A09286&t</u>				<u> </u>	

	Number			,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		
State	and Title,	Comprehensive		Youth in Adult	Youth in Juvenile	People with
	Status, and	Reforms	Study Bill	Facilities	Facilities	Mental Illness
	Link					Weittai iiiiess
						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	erm=2013&	and physical				
	Summary=Y	exercise.				
	&Actions=Y					
	<u>&Votes=Y&</u>					
	Memo=Y&T					
	ext=Y.					
TX	SB 1517:				The bill would have	
	Relating to				regulated	
	the				disciplinary	
	collection of				isolation practices	
	data				in juvenile	
	regarding the				facilities. The	
	placement				provisions of this bill would: limit the	
	of a child in				use of disciplinary	
	disciplinary				isolation to four	
	seclusion in				hours, except in	
	a juvenile		ļ		cases of assault,	
	facility.				escape, or	
	Placed on				attempted escape	
	House				(but places no time	
	General				limits on its use in	
	State				such cases);	
	Calendar	Ī			require that a	
	5/21/13;				youth places in	
	Legislature				disciplinary	
	adjourned				isolation for more	
	without				than one hour	
	further				complete a	
	action.				therapeutic self-	
	http://legisc				analysis	
	an.com/TX/				assignment; and	
	<u>drafts/SB15</u> <u>17.</u>				mandate that	
	<u></u>				administrators	
					report data about the use of	
					disciplinary	
					isolation.	
					isolation.	
TX	HB 686/SB		Bill would have			
	1802:		required a report			
	Annendiy I	R. Page & Pending or	Recently Proposed (2013 or 2014) Solitary	Confinement Reform Bi	:11-

Subject Matter

Bill

	Bill			Subject Matter		
	Number					
State	and Title,	Comprehensive	Charles B'll	Youth in Adult	Youth in Juvenile	People with
25.0	Status, and	Reforms	Study Bill	Facilities	Facilities	Mental Illness
+ T	Link					
	Relating to		on the number of			
	the		people in solitary			
	reporting of		and the status of			
	certain		mental health			
	information		referrals.			
	regarding					
	inmates and					
	the use of					
	administrati					
	ve					
	segregation					
	by the Texas					
	Department					
	of Criminal			•		
	Justice. Left					
	pending in					
	House					
	Criminal					
	Justice					
	Committee					
	4/17/13;					
	Legislature					
	adjourned		,			
	without					
	further					
	action.					
	http://www.					
	legis.state.tx					
	.us/tlodocs/					
	83R/billtext/					
	pdf/SB0180					
	21.pdf#navp					
	anes=0.					
TX	SB 1357:	Would have		Would restrict		
-	Relating to	regulated Ad Seg		duration of some		
	the use of	in county jails,		segregation of		
	administrati	established		youth under 18 in		
	ve	commission to set		county jails.		
	segregation	standards for		,,		
	or seclusion	appropriate use				
			r Bosontly Brancod			

	Bill			Subject Matter		
	Number					
State	and Title,	Comprehensive		Youth in Adult	Youth in Juvenile	People with
	Status, and	Reforms	Study Bill	Facilities	Facilities	Mental Illness
	Link					
	in county	of Ad Seg or				
	jails. Read	seclusion in				
	and referred	county jails. Prior				
	to Senate	to placement in				
	Criminal	Ad Seg, would				
	Justice	require				
	Committee	consideration of				
	3/18/13;	less-restrictive				
	Legislature	measures, mental				
	adjourned	health evaluation,				
	without	sheriff or				
	further	designee				
	action.	approval, medical				
	http://www.	staff review. After				
	legis.state.tx	24 hours in				
	.us/tlodocs/	segregation, jail				
	83R/billtext/	would develop a				
	pdf/SB0135	behavioral plan.				
	71.pdf.	Would restrict				
		duration of some				
		segregation of				
		youth under 18.				
		Would also				
	11.5.4545	require reporting.)			
Fed.	H.R. 4618	Would establish a	Would establish a			
	Solitary	commission to	commission to			
	Confinemen	study the practice	study the practice			
	t Study and Reform Act	of solitary confinement and	of solitary confinement and			
	of 2014	recommend best	recommend best			
	(Sponsor:	practices for	practices for			
	Rep.	reform; would	reform; would			
	Richmond).	require DOJ	require DOJ			
	Introduced	to issue	to issue			
	5/8/14.	regulations on	regulations on			
	https://ww	best practices	best practices			
	w.govtrack.	that would bind	that would bind			
1	us/congress	federal facilities	federal facilities			
	/bills/113/hr	and incent	and incent			
	4618.	changes in	changes in			
		behavior in state	behavior in state			
L	L	1	1	L		

Bill			Subject Matter		
Number State and Title, Status, and Link	Comprehensive Reforms	Study Bill	Youth in Adult Facilities	Youth in Juvenile Facilities	People with Mental Illness

-	1	and local prices	Tanalla and materia	T		
		and local prison	and local prison			
Fed.	U.D. 4124	systems	systems			
rea.	H.R. 4124 –				To ensure that	
	Protecting				juveniles	
	Youth from				adjudicated in	
	Solitary				Federal	
	Confinemen				delinquency	
	t Act				proceedings are	
	(Sponsor:				not subject to	
	Rep.				solitary	
	Cardenas).				confinement while	
	Referred to				committed to	
	House				juvenile facilities.	
	Subcommitt				Would require	
	ee on Crime,				reporting of the	
	Terrorism,				most recent data	
	Homeland				regarding the rate	
	Security,				at which juveniles	
	and				are subject to	
	Investigatio				solitary	
	ns 4/16/14.				confinement and	
	http://beta.				the trends	
	congress.go				demonstrated by	
	<u>v/bill/113th-</u>				the data.	
	congress/ho					
	use-		£			
	<u>bill/4124/te</u>					
	<u>xt.</u>					
Fed.	S. 2567 –			Among other	Among other	
	REDEEM Act			comprehensive	comprehensive	
	(Sponsors:			federal criminal	federal criminal	
	Sens.			justice reforms,	justice reforms,	
	Booker and			would limit solitary	would limit solitary	
	Paul);			confinement of	confinement of	
	Introduced			federally	federally	
	and referred			adjudicated youth	adjudicated youth	
	to Senate			convicted to	convicted to	
	Committee			temporary,	temporary,	
	on the			emergency	emergency	
	Judiciary			situations to	situations to	
	7/8/14;			prevent immediate	prevent immediate	

	Bill	1 11 11 112		Subject Matter		8-
	Number					
State	and Title,	Comprehensive	Study Bill	Youth in Adult	Youth in Juvenile	People with
	Status, and	Reforms	Study Dill	Facilities	Facilities	Mental Illness
	Link					
	1				T	
	Introduced			harm to the youth	harm to the youth	
	in House			or others. Would ban solitary	or others. Would	
	7/18/14. https://beta			confinement of	ban solitary confinement of	
	.congress.go			youth for	youth for	
	<u>v/bill/113th-</u>			discipline/punishme	discipline/punishm	
	congress/se			nt or administrative	ent or	
	nate-			reasons. Also places	administrative	
	bill/2567.			a 3-hour limit on	reasons. Also	
				solitary	places a 3-hour	
				confinement of	limit on solitary	
				youth in most cases.	confinement of	
				(Youth in the	youth in most	
				federal system are	cases. (Youth in	
				convicted of adult	the federal system	
				crimes but generally	are convicted of	
				held in juvenile	adult crimes but	
				facilities.)	generally held in	
Fed.	US S 162:	Would enhance			juvenile facilities.)	Would enhance
reu.	Justice and	screening and				screening and
	Mental	treatment/service				treatment/services
	Health	s for prisoners				for prisoners with
	(Sponsor:	with mental				mental illness,
	Sen.	illness, medical				medical needs,
	Franken).	needs, substance				substance abuse,
	Placed on	abuse, and "social				and "social needs",
	Senate	needs", including				including
	Legislative	alternatives to				alternatives to
	Calendar	solitary				solitary
	under	confinement and				confinement and
	General	treatment for				treatment for
	Orders	those in solitary				those in solitary
	6/20/13;	confinement.				confinement. Would also train
	Legislature	Would also train				employees in
	adjourned without	employees in identifying and				identifying and
	further	responding to				responding to
	action.	mental health				mental health
	http://thom	issues.				issues.
	as.loc.gov/cg					
<u> </u>		1	<u> </u>	I	I	

to the state of th	Bill			Subject Matter		
	Number					
State	and Title,	Comprehensive	Study Bill	Youth in Adult	Youth in Juvenile	People with
	Status, and	Reforms	Study Bill	Facilities	Facilities	Mental Illness
No. 1	Link					
	<u>i-</u>					
	<u>bin/bdquery</u>					
	/z?d113:S16				[
	2:@@@L&s					
	umm2=m&.					
Fed.	US S 744:	Among other			Among other	
(DHS)	Opportunity	provisions related			provisions related	
	, and	to DHS custody,			to DHS custody,	
	Immigration	would limit the			would limit the use	
	Modernizati	use of solitary			of solitary	
	on Act	confinement,			confinement,	
	(Sponsor:	including			including	
	Sen.	prohibiting such			prohibiting such	
	Schumer).	confinement for			confinement for	
	Passed	persons younger			persons younger	
	Senate	than 18 years old.			than 18 years old.	
	6/27/13;					
	Legislature					
	adjourned without					
	further					
	action.					
	https://ww					
	w.govtrack.					
	us/congress					
	/bills/113/s7					
	44#summar					
	<u>V.</u>					